

15 April 2020

Mr. Israel Lopez Managing Director of Development The Gutierrez Company 200 Summit Drive Burlington, MA 01803

Re: Geotechnical Engineering Letter Report Copley Parcel H Northborough, Massachusetts Langan Project No.: 151011301

Dear Israel:

This letter report presents our geotechnical engineering study for the proposed development known as the Copley Parcel H project in Northborough, Massachusetts. The purposes of this study were to explore subsurface conditions, evaluate feasible foundation options, and develop geotechnical engineering recommendations. Services were performed in accordance with our authorized proposal (13 January 2020, revised 21 January 2020).

Our approach and recommendations were developed considering the plans submitted for Site Plan Approval (24 February 2020) prepared by Allen & Major Associates, Inc. (A&M), and subsequent correspondence with A&M, and The Gutierrez Company. Any changes to the design scheme must be reviewed by Langan for effects on our recommendations.

Elevations are referenced from a plan titled "Existing Conditions" (24 December 2020) prepared by A&M referencing the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88).

SITE DESCRIPTION

The about 66-acre site is located on the north side of Bartlett Street and consists of two parcels identified as 0 and 301 Bartlett Street, which are identified on the Town of Northborough Assessors Tax Maps as Map 51 Lot 3 (59 acres) and Map 66 Lot 16 (7.08 acres), respectively. The wooded site is currently undeveloped and bound by Bartlett Street to the south, a residential area to the west, and industrial lots to the east. The Stirrup Brook runs along the northerly edge of the site, beyond which is wooded land owned by the Northborough-Southborough Regional School District. Figure 1 shows the site location and surrounding properties.

The two parcels are bisected by the Wachusett Aqueduct, which is a secondary aqueduct managed by the Massachusetts Water Resources Authority (MWRA). The aqueduct is subsurface and within a recessed cut about 60-feet wide. The main development parcel (Map 51 Lot 3) lies north of aqueduct. The secondary development parcel (Map 66, Lot 16) lies south

of the aqueduct and will support access to Bartlett Street for the project. Currently, access across the recessed cut over the subsurface aqueduct is accommodated by an existing earthen berm about 8 feet high and about 70-feet wide. The existing easement right-of-way is about 50-feet wide and is shown on Figure 2.

The site topography for the main development parcel varies, but generally slopes down from the southwest to the north, west, and east. The on-site topography varies from a maximum of about elevation (el.) +290 along the southwestern property line with the aqueduct to about el. +241 at the northern portion of the site. There is an approximately 3 horizontal to 1 vertical (3H:1V) slope leading down from the center of the site to the edges of the bordering vegetated wetland area, with some portions of the slopes steeper than 3H:1V. The site topography for secondary development parcel varies, but generally slopes down from Bartlett Street to the aqueduct and wetlands to the north. The elevations vary from a maximum of about el. +290 to a minimum of about el. +285.

Various dirt paths are present at the site and the wooded areas include mature trees and low vegetation. We observed one concrete foundation located about 130 feet north of the existing easement right-of-way and two additional concrete foundations located along the property line adjacent to the aqueduct.

Existing utilities include water, gas, telecommunication, and sanitary within Bartlett Street and overhead electric runs along Bartlett Street and within the existing aqueduct alignment.

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The proposed development consists of the construction of a 150,900-square foot (sf) warehouse facility. The building is anticipated to be 1-story, no basement, with a finished floor elevation (FFE) of about el. +275. Cuts and fills of about 11 to 15 feet, respectively, are anticipated to achieve the proposed FFE.

Stormwater management areas are proposed to the northwest and east of the proposed building. The proposed bottom elevation of the northwest stormwater area is about el. +268 and will require cuts and fills of about 6 to 9 feet, respectively. The proposed bottom of the eastern stormwater area is about el. +253 and will require cuts and fills of about 5 to 7 feet, respectively.

Proposed paved areas include truck access roads from Bartlett Street to the loading dock areas on the southern side of the warehouse, parking areas to the west of the proposed building and a gravel maintenance path to the east and north of the proposed building.

Proposed utilities include storm drains, municipal water, underground electric, telecommunications, sewer and natural gas connecting to the existing lines on Bartlett Street.

Underground utilities will pass through the existing soil berm right-of-way easement. The proposed storm drains will flow into the two stormwater management areas on-site.

Structural loads were not available, but based on our experience on similar developments, we anticipate typical column loads will range from about 200 to 300 kips. Langan should review the structural information when available to confirm our recommendations herein.

REVIEW OF AVAILABLE INFORMATION

Regional Geology

The 2018 "Surficial Materials Map of the Marlborough Quadrangle" (Figure 2) indicates the overburden consists of gravel and sand deposits to the west and glacial till or bedrock to the east. The 1983 "Bedrock Geologic Map of Massachusetts" (Figure 3) indicates the bedrock below the site is a Marlborough formation consisting of schist and gneiss. Both maps were prepared by prepared by the U.S. Geological Survey.

Federal Emergency Management Agency Flood Map

We reviewed the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) for the Town of Northborough published by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), Map No. 25027C0653F effective 16 July 2014 (Figure 4). The majority of the site is in Zone X, "area of minimal flood hazard" which are areas determined to be out of the 500-year flood zones. The most northern portion of the site is located in Zone A, "area subject to the 1-percent-annual-chance flood"; however, there are no Base Flood Elevations (BFE) associated with a Zone A Flood Zone. No portion of the site development is proposed within the Zone A area.

Wachusett Aqueduct

The proposed point of crossing for the Wachusett Aqueduct is within an existing right-of-way, across an existing earthen berm about 8 feet high and about 70-feet wide. Historical documentation indicates that the subsurface portion of the Wachusett Aqueduct is masonry construction consisting of a horseshoe-shaped concrete lined sections with three to six rings of brick.

A ground penetrating radar (GPR) survey conducted by Hager Richter Geoscience, Inc. (HRGS) was performed on 21 February 2020 across the earthen berm and within the recessed cut area. Based on the survey, the aqueduct was estimated to be about 8 feet wide and the estimated depths to the top of the structure are provided in the summary table below.

Approximate Location	Estimated Depth to Top of Aqueduct (feet)
West of existing earthen berm	
About 50 feet west of berm	5.5 +/- 1
About 5 feet west of berm	6 +/- 1
Top of existing earthen berm	
West side of berm	13.5 +/- 1
East side of berm	14.5 +/- 1
East of existing earthen berm	
About 5 feet east of berm	5 +/- 1
About 50 feet east of berm	5.5 +/- 1

 Table 1. Summary of Wachusett Aqueduct GPR Survey

SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION

Langan performed a subsurface exploration program consisting of 9 borings and 3 test pits within and adjacent to the proposed building footprint. An additional 7 test pits were performed within stormwater areas under the direction of A&M. Following the exploration programs, a geophysical survey was conducted within the building limits. A boring and test pit location plan is shown in Figure 5.

Borings

Nine borings (LB-01 through LB-09) were drilled by Northern Drill Services, Inc. between 27 and 28 February 2020, under full-time Langan observation. The borings were advanced with a Mobile Drill B-48 ATV Rig using hollow-stem-auger drilling techniques. Borings were advanced between about 10 feet and 27 feet below the existing grades (about el. +257 to about el. +243).

Standard Penetration Test (SPT) N-values¹ were documented and soil samples were generally obtained continuously to a depth of about 12 feet and every 5 feet thereafter. Disturbed soil samples were obtained using a standard 2-inch-outer-diameter split-spoon sampler driven by a 140-pound safety hammer in accordance with ASTM D1586, Standard Penetration Test.

¹ The Standard Penetration Test (SPT) is an in situ testing technique used to infer soil density and consistency. The SPT N-value is defined as the number of blows required to drive a 2-inch-diameter split-barrel sampler 12 inches after an initial penetration of 6-inches using a 140-pound hammer falling freely from 30 inches.



Recovered soil samples were visually examined and classified in the field in general accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS). Soil classifications, N-values, and other field observations were recorded on our field logs provided in Appendix A.

Test Pits

Three test pits (TP-001 through TP-003) were performed by Boggaard Construction Corp. on 25 February 2020, under full-time Langan observation. Langan also observed 7 test pits performed under the direction of A&M (TP-101 through TP-107). Soil classifications and other field observations were recorded on our field logs provided in Appendix B. The test pits were performed with a Hitachi 450 Excavator to about 9 to 12 feet below existing grades (about el. +244 to el. +276). Photographs of the test pits are provided in Appendix C.

Groundwater Observation Well

Groundwater observation wells were installed at boring locations LB-05(OW) and LB-08(OW). The bottom of the wells extend about 25 feet below existing grades (about el. +246/LB-08(OW) to el. +259/LB-05(OW)). Monitoring well construction logs are provided in Appendix D.

Geophysical Survey

Langan conducted a geophysical survey at the project site on 10 April 2020. The geophysical survey consisted of Refraction Microtremor (ReMi) seismic testing that uses ambient noise and surface induced waves to generate a vertical shear wave velocity profile for the subsurface soils. The ReMi equipment used for the data collection included: (1) a SeisDaq ReMi recording unit, (2) a 300-foot length with twelve 10-Hz vertical geophone array, and (3) a laptop with the "VScope" software used for storing the raw data.

A geophone array about 300 feet long was located along the building alignment with a northeastsouthwest orientation. The geophone array consisted of low frequency (10-Hz) geophones installed 25 feet apart and connected to the ReMi recording unit and laptop using the geophone cable. We collected eight unfiltered 30-second-long records as detailed below for each array setup:

- Four records were collected using ambient vibrations;
- Two records were collected using vibrations induced by a hammer striking a steel plate about 15 feet apart from geophone number 1; and,
- Two records were collected using vibrations induced by a hammer striking a steel plate about 15 feet apart from geophone number 12.



A total of eight geophone arrays were set up for the project site and eight unfiltered 30-secondlong records were collected for each array. Generally for each array, the overall line was shifted by 50 feet to the northeast (i.e., the first geophone is relocated to the third geophone position etc.) following the building alignment. Our sub-consultant Subterraseis processed the data sets. ReMi results are summarized in the "Seismic Design" Section of this report.

Lab Testing

Selected samples were sent to a testing laboratory to confirm visual classifications and to determine index properties (physical and mechanical). Four grain-size analyses, four moisture-content determinations, and two grain size passing #200 sieve were performed; the results are provided in Appendix E.

SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

The subsurface conditions generally consist of a surficial layer of topsoil and subsoil underlain by layers of sand and glacial till. A discontinuous layer of fill was encountered in the vicinity of an existing concrete foundation. Groundwater was first encountered from about 8 to 15 feet below existing grade (about el. +274 to el. +255). A detailed description of subsurface materials encountered is provided below in order of increasing depth.

<u>Surficial Materials</u> – A surficial layer of topsoil and subsoil was encountered in all borings, except LB-05(OW) and LB-07, and in all test pits. The surficial layer was observed to be about 6 to 12 inches thick. The topsoil generally consists of dark brown fine to medium sand with varying proportions of fine gravel, organics, and silt. The subsoil generally consists of fine to medium sand with varying amounts of gravel and silt with roots.

<u>Fill</u> – An about 18 foot thick layer of fill extending to about el. +266 was encountered at boring location LB-05 adjacent to the existing concrete foundation. The fill is generally composed of orange to grayish brown sand with varying amounts of silt and coal ash. SPT N-values within the fill layer vary from about 4 blows per foot (bpf) to 10 bpf. Laboratory testing of samples reported a fines content of about 43%. The measured moisture content was about 20%. The fill layer is generally classified as SM (silty sand) in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS).

<u>Sand and Silt</u> – Below the surficial layer an about 1 to 16 foot thick layer of sand and silt was encountered in borings LB-02 through LB-04, and LB-06 through LB-09, TP-001 through TP-002, TP-101 through TP-103 and TP-105 through TP-107. The material is generally composed of grayish to orangish brown fine to coarse sand with varying amounts of fine gravel and having silt lenses throughout. SPT N-values within the sand and silt layer vary from about 3 to 19 bpf. Laboratory testing of samples within the sand and silt layer reported a fines content between



about 4 and 79%. The measured moisture content was between about 4 and 15%. The sand layer is generally classified as SP (poorly graded sand) and the silt is generally classified as ML (silt/very-fine sand) in accordance with the USCS.

<u>Glacial Till</u> – Below the fill and sand glacial till was encountered in all borings and all test pits; explorations were terminated within the soil stratum. The glacial till is generally composed of gray to grayish brown fine sand with varying amounts of silt and fine gravel. SPT N-values within the glacial till layer vary from about 3 bpf to18 bpf. Auger refusal was encountered about 10 feet below existing site grades at LB-01 (about el. +257) on assumed cobbles; coring was not performed as part of the exploration program. Laboratory testing of samples within the glacial till layer reported a fines content between about 25% and 89%. The measured moisture content was about 25%. The glacial till layer is generally classified as ML (silt) in accordance with the USCS.

<u>Groundwater</u> – Groundwater was first encountered in the borings from about 8 to 15 feet below existing grade (about el. +274 to el. +255). Groundwater measurements from installed observation wells ranged from about 13 to 17 feet below grade (about el. +271 to el. +253). Groundwater elevations within the proposed building footprint range from about el. +255 to el. +267, or about 8 to 20 feet below proposed FFE. Groundwater, if encountered, should be expected to fluctuate with seasons, precipitation, construction activities, etc.

GEOTECHNICAL DESIGN RECOMMENDATIONS

Our geotechnical evaluation and recommendations for seismic design, foundations, floor-slabs, retaining walls, pavement design, slope design, and aqueduct crossing design are provided below.

The following key geotechnical issues have been identified:


- Building foundations: the proposed building can be supported by conventional, shallow spread footings with the ground floor constructed as a slab-on-grade.
- Aqueduct crossing: prior to earthwork on the larger development parcel, an overexcavation of the existing earthen berm and replacement with lightweight fill is a recommended approach to minimize the impact of new traffic loading. Requirements will be subject to review and approval from MWRA.
- Organic topsoil and subsoil containing roots: surface soils containing organic material such as topsoil and subsoil containing roots should be considered unsuitable. This report provides the contractor the option to screen and reuse the subsoil as described in the Site Preparation section of this report.

Seismic Design

This section presents seismic design recommendations per the 9th Edition of the Massachusetts State Building Code (MSBC), CMR 780, which incorporates the International Building Code (IBC) 2015 by reference with state specific amendments. We have considered the soil conditions encountered in the borings to be consistent and representative of the soil conditions in the top 100 feet of soil at this site. The soil conditions found in the borings and a subsequent geophysical survey were used to determine site class and recommendations.

The average shear wave velocity $(\overline{V_s})$ for each individual array (8 in total) within the upper 100 feet was determined in accordance with the MSBC. The individual arrays were estimated to range from about 1,084 to 1,141 feet per second (ft/sec), with an average of about 1,115 ft/sec. The Vs profile for each array is provided in Figure "A" below.

Figure A. Interpreted Shear Wave Velocities



Interpreted Shear Wave Velocity Versus Depth

Table 2. Seismic Design Values

Description	Parameter	Recommended Value
Mapped Spectral Acceleration for short periods:	Ss	0.188 g
Mapped Spectral Acceleration for 1-sec period:	S ₁	0.067 g
Site Class:		D – Stiff Soil Profile
Site Coefficient:	Fa	1.6
Site Coefficient:	F _v	2.4
5% damped design spectral response acceleration at short periods:	S _{DS}	0.201 g
5% damped design spectral response acceleration at 1-sec period:	S _{D1}	0.107 g
Anticipated Risk Category		II
Seismic Design Category		В
Seismically Induced Lateral Earth Pressures H is the height of the wall measured as the difference in elevation of finished ground surface or floor in front of and behind the wall. The earthquake force from the backfill shall be distributed as an inverted triangle over the height of the wall. For wall strength design, a load factor of 1.43 shall be applied to the earthquake force in accordance with Section 1610.2 of the building code.	Eq	7.5H

Based on the above spectral accelerations and the anticipated risk category we have estimated the Seismic Design Category (SDC). The structural engineer is responsible for confirming the appropriate use group, occupancy category, and final SDC for the proposed structure.

It is our opinion that the soils at the site are not susceptible to liquefaction as defined in Section 1806.4 of the MSBC.

Foundations

The materials encountered at the anticipated footing elevation (about el. +271) consist of topsoil, fill, sand and silt or glacial till. The existing fill and topsoil are not suitable for foundation support. The proposed structure can be supported on shallow foundations bearing on compacted structural fill or natural inorganic granular soils (sand or glacial till) using an allowable bearing pressure of 3,000 pounds per square foot (psf). Footing subgrades should be prepared in accordance with the Subgrade Preparation section of this report.

All exterior footings should be constructed 48 inches or deeper below the lowest adjacent grade for frost protection. Interior footings in heated spaces may be constructed at a convenient depth below the slab; however, all bottoms of footings should be at least 1.5 feet below the finishedfloor elevation. Isolated column footings should have a minimum dimension of 3 feet and strip



footings should have a minimum width of 2 feet even if smaller dimensions can be justified using the recommended allowable bearing pressure.

Foundations should not be located so that one foundation is within the zone of influence of an adjacent foundation. The zone of influence is taken as a 1H:1V projection extending outward and downward from the edge of the foundation.

Settlement

Total settlement of the structure is estimated to be on the order of 1 inch or less, provided the bearing pressure recommended here is used and the subgrade preparation work described here is performed. Differential settlements of adjacent new structure columns are expected to be about ½ inch. The majority of the settlement is expected to take place during construction.

Floor Slabs

We recommend that ground-floor slabs be constructed as a slab-on-grade bearing on natural granular soils, structural fill, or compacted existing fill prepared in accordance with the recommendations herein. The slab-on-grade should be designed for a modulus of subgrade reaction of 125 pounds per cubic inch.

We recommend a minimum 6-inch-thick layer of ¾-inch clean crushed stone be included beneath the slabs to protect the prepared subgrade and to serve as a capillary break. A vapor barrier should be used below the ground-floor slab to limit transmission of water vapor through the slab. We recommend a robust membrane such as the Florprufe product by WR Grace. Omission of a vapor barrier can lead to floor-covering problems including delamination and mold.

Permanent Groundwater Control

Perimeter wall and footing drains should be installed to divert groundwater flow away from the structure during prolonged precipitation, snowmelt, or utility breaks. Manufactured geocomposite drainage panels or a 12-inch-wide layer of ¾-inch clean crushed stone should be installed against the outside of all perimeter walls and should extend to within 1 foot of adjacent surface grade. The drainage panels (or crushed stone) should connect to a perforated footing drain pipe, having a minimum diameter of 6 inches, at the base of the footing. The footing drains should be connected to the site stormwater system and where possible drain by gravity. Where used, drainage panels should be secured in place and the filter-fabric side must face the soil. If clean crushed stone is used, it should be wrapped with a geotextile filter fabric.



Site Retaining Walls

We understand that site retaining walls may be necessary to achieve proposed site grades. Site fill-retaining walls may be designed as segmental retaining walls (SRW) consisting of geogrid reinforced modular block walls (such as Mesa, Keystone, Versa-lok, or Redi-Rock type walls) or gravity-type retaining walls in cut areas, depending on the location and size of the proposed wall. Segmental walls are not designed to withstand hydrostatic pressure (water buildup) behind the wall and surface water runoff should be diverted away from the retaining wall area. Foundation drains should be installed in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations. Maintaining adequate drainage behind retaining walls is critical to their long-term performance. We recommend the following design criteria for a SRW system presented in Table 3.

Material	Unit Weight (pcf)	Internal Friction Angle (degrees)	Cohesion (psf)
Reinforced Fill (structural fill)	130	32	0
Retained Soil (existing soil)	130	32	0
Foundation Soil (native soil)	130	32	0
Other Design Criteria: Backfill Slope Angle Behind Wall Traffic Surcharge Design Load Be minimum of 200 psf for all walls. Min. Factor of Safety Against Ove Min. Factor of Safety Against Slid Min. Factor of Safety Against Geo Min. Factor of Safety Against Geo Seismic Design Load – Per IBC 20 Preliminary Net Allowable Soil Be	ehind Wall – 300 p erturning – 2.0 ing – 1.5 ogrid Tensile Overs ogrid Pullout from 5 015 and MSBC9.	osf (adjacent to access ro stress – 1.5 Soil – 1.5	oads only) or a

Table 3. Recommended Segmental Retaining Wall Design Criteria

The final retaining wall design, including design parameters, calculations, and construction means and methods must be signed and sealed by a professional engineer licensed in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

Pavement Design

We have provided recommendations for minimum asphalt pavement sections using assumed daily traffic loading of: 250 cars, 10 light trucks/busses, and 284 heavy trucks. The pavement sections were designed using a 20-year life expectancy and a California Bearing Ratio (CBR) of 10 for proofrolled site soils or properly placed compacted fill. CBR testing must be performed by the contractor in pavement areas at the start of construction to confirm the design assumptions. Pavement design calculations are provided in Appendix F. Refer to subsequent sections for subgrade preparation procedures.



	Thic	kness
Material	Standard Duty (Car Parking Areas)	Heavy Duty (Drive Aisles & Truck Areas)
Top (Finish) Course (MassDOT Item M3.11.03):	1.5 inches	2 inches
Asphalt Pavement Binder Course (MassDOT Item M3.11.03):	1.5 inches	2.5 inches
Based Course (Dense Graded Crushed Stone for Subbase, MassDOT Item M2.01.7 or Processed Gravel for Subbase, Item M1.03.1):	10 inches	16 inches
Refer to Standard Specifications for Highways and Bridges, latest edition.		

Table 4: Recommended Standard & Heavy Duty Flexible Pavement Sections

Slope Design and Construction

We recommend that the inboard slopes for stormwater detention ponds be design with a slope angle of 3H:1V, or flatter. Outboard slopes of detention basins, and cut/fill slopes for site grading should have a slope angle of 2H:1V, or flatter if the slope face is to be reseeded to establish vegetative cover or stabilized with riprap. Cut or fill slopes steeper than 2H:1V should be stabilized with riprap underlain by a non-woven geotextile. Temporary stabilization or other measures may be required during construction until the final condition is completed. Slope angles steeper than 1.5H:1V are not recommended.

In areas where fill slopes, or fill embankments for the stormwater detention pond, are being constructed, the organic topsoil and subsoil containing roots should be removed from the full width of the fill area. The Geotechnical Engineer should be notified after the subgrade has been prepared, so that a field inspection can be made before fill placement.

Vegetative cover should be established on the slope surface as soon as practical after final grading to reduce erosion and the potential for sloughing failures. The thickness of organic topsoil on the surface of vegetated slopes should be 12 inches or less, unless otherwise approved by the geotechnical engineer.

Surface water swales or curbing should be provided at the crest of soil cut and fill slopes to intercept and divert runoff from the slope face. The slope design recommendations above are based on an average angle of internal friction of 32 degrees for on-site fill materials and natural soils in the slope areas.

Aqueduct Crossing Design and Construction

Langan has performed an analysis of the existing conditions in the vicinity of the aqueduct in relation to the proposed development. Our analysis included the review of (1) existing soil loads,



(2) anticipated static and transient temporary construction loads (i.e., heavy equipment and fully loaded concrete trucks), and (3) anticipated static and transient development design loads (i.e., tractor trailer trucks and fire emergency vehicles). Conventional earth pressures were calculated based on a typical soil total unit weight of 120 to 130 pounds per cubic foot (pcf) and groundwater is estimated to be about 15 feet below ground surface based on inferred boring observations.

To create a net reduction in existing loading conditions, subject to review and approval from the MRWA, to reduce the potential traffic loads on the underlying aqueduct structure, we suggest reducing the existing overburden stresses on the aqueduct by a removal and replacement program consisting of lightweight fill. This mitigation approach provides a low-impact and low-cost measure without the need for relying on continued long-term maintenance throughout the life of the development (i.e., pavement maintenance not relied on as part design, sub-surface bridge maintenance, etc.).

Based on our analysis, point (static) loads during construction result in the greatest stress increase of about 20% along the top of the aqueduct alignment. The greatest static load evaluated is a fully loaded concrete truck (56,000 pounds) parked stationary on the top of the aqueduct alignment resulting in a surcharge pressure of about 300 pounds per square foot (psf) at the top of the aqueduct. Our analysis was performed using a computer-based program, Zee-Stress, to compute vertical stress increases with depth due to surface loads. We recommend the over-excavation of soil along the aqueduct alignment and replacement with a lightweight fill to off-set the potential load increase condition by reducing the existing loading condition. Replacing the existing soil with about 4 feet of lightweight fill (such as AeroAggregates UL-FGA G15 Ultra-Lightweight Foam Glass Aggregate) directly below the pavement drive aisle within the existing earthen berm can decrease the existing loads about 30% and will off-set the anticipated 20% traffic load increase from the greatest loading scenario analyzed.

The AeroAggregates lightweight fill product is about 85% lighter than quarried aggregates having an uncompacted dry bulk density of no more than 15 pcf. The product is made in North America from 100% post-consumer recycled materials and typical gradations range from about ½ to 2-inches. The material has a long history of use in projects that require fill to be placed over soft compressible soils or over underground utilities.

As part of the development we recommend completing the over-excavation prior to commencing earthwork on the main development site. Proposed utilities from Bartlett Street for the development will need to be coordinated with the proposed over-excavation. The limits of excavation will extend for the full width of the drive aisle (about 24-feet) and extend laterally beyond the aqueduct by about 1-foot (i.e., total excavation width of about 10 feet). The lightweight fill should be fully wrapped in a non-woven geotextile (such as a Mirafi 140N, Contech C-40NW, or an approved equivalent). About 12-inches of dense graded aggregate should be placed over the fully wrapped lightweight fill and should be maintained throughout construction,



or until a flexible pavement binder course has been placed. A cross-section of the proposed overexcavation is included on Figure 6.

GEOTECHNICAL CONSTRUCTION RECOMMENDATIONS

Site Preparation

Following the aqueduct crossing earthwork activities outlined above, clearing and grubbing of trees and vegetation designated for removal (including root systems) should be performed. Buried debris should be completely removed beneath proposed building slab and footing locations. Topsoil should be stripped from the proposed building and pavement areas, and should be stockpiled and protected from erosion. Topsoil should be evaluated by a landscape architect for reuse in landscape areas (if permitted by the environmental engineer). All clearing and stripping activities should be performed in strict accordance with the approved soil-erosion and sediment-control plan and the environmental reports prepared for the project.

Topsoil and subsoil is considered unsuitable for support of building and paved areas in its present condition because of its organic content. Due to the large volume of excavated subsoil expected to be generated by this project, special consideration needs to be given to methods to process and possibly reuse this material. If the Contractor screens the subsoil to remove tree roots, the screened material is expected to be primarily inorganic silty sand (provided the overlying organic topsoil is carefully removed and not mixed in). If the resulting product after screening is an inorganic silty sand it could be reused as compacted fill more than 3 feet below finished grade in proposed pavement areas. Alternatively, the subsoil containing roots may be hauled off-site and not processed.

All demolition and site-clearing work should be performed in accordance with any environmental requirements established for the site, and all local, state, and federal regulations. All debris and trees and other vegetation should be properly disposed of off site in accordance with applicable regulations. All construction work should be performed so as not to adversely impact the neighboring buildings, off site structures or utilities, including the existing utilities and trees that are to remain. Protection of these elements should be provided as necessary. Before beginning grading or placing fill, any miscellaneous trash, debris, or other unsuitable materials should be removed from the site.

Subgrade Preparation

All footing and utility-trench subgrades should be proofrolled with six overlapping coverages of a double-drum 1-ton walk-behind vibratory roller (such as a Bomag BW75 or equivalent). All slab subgrade areas should be proofrolled before placing any concrete or structural fill with six overlapping coverages of a vibratory drum roller having a minimum static drum weight of 5 tons.



Soft areas identified during proofrolling should be excavated and replaced with approved structural fill as described in the Removal and Replacement section. The actual extent of necessary removal and replacement should be determined by a qualified Langan geotechnical engineer. Care should be taken when proofrolling near any existing underground utilities that are to remain.

Soil footing subgrades should be excavated level and if any cobbles or boulders are encountered at the footing subgrade level such that a relatively level subgrade is not achieved, the cobbles or boulders should be removed and replaced with compacted structural fill, compacted ³/₄-inch crushed stone, or lean concrete. All soil subgrades for footings or slabs should be compacted to the project specified compaction criteria.

If foundations are not poured in a timely manner, the subgrade should be protected with a lean concrete mud mat to protect the footing subgrades.

Steps should be taken by the contractor to control and remove surface-water runoff and precipitation. When soil is wet and subjected to construction traffic, previously acceptable subgrades can soften and become unacceptable. A smooth drum roller should be used to seal the surface and provide for better drainage. We also recommend crowning or sloping the subgrade to provide positive drainage off the subgrades.

Excavation, Fill, Placement, and Compaction Criteria

Excavation through the fill and the underlying glacial till can likely be performed using conventional earthmoving equipment (e.g., backhoes, excavators, dozers, etc.). Excavations made for footings and utilities should be conducted to minimize disturbance to the subgrade (i.e., backhoe with a smooth-edge bucket).

All excavations should be properly sloped or braced and conform with applicable OSHA regulations including, but not limited to, temporary shoring, trench boxes, temporary rock stabilization, or proper benching or both.

The following types of fill can be used.

<u>Structural Fill</u> – Structural fill should be well-graded sand and gravel having a maximum particle size of 3 inches and no more than 10% passing the No. 200 sieve. Additionally, the structural fill should be free of organics, clay, roots, concrete, other non-soil constituents, and other deleterious or compressible materials. Any approved imported structural fill should be "certified clean fill" free of hazardous substances and meeting all local, state, and federal regulations.

<u>Material Reuse</u> – The contractor may reuse the on-site fill, natural sand, or glacial till as structural fill provided the soils meet the requirements for structural fill outlined above and is approved by the environmental engineer. Note that samples obtained within the fill, sand, and till layers have a fines content (material passing the No. 200 sieve) between about 4 and 89%; therefore, the soil will be sensitive to moisture. The overall amount of soil that can be reused will be dependent on the amount of fines present within the soil, the time of year the earthwork is carried out (e.g., potentially inclement weather), and the earthwork contractor's ability to stage, aerate and process the material to facilitate placement and compaction.

<u>General Fill</u> – On-site soils not meeting the requirements for structural fill can be used as general fill for site landscape and other nonstructural areas (e.g., landscaped areas) if environmentally suitable for reuse. The fill and silt layers may be used as general fill, if required.

<u>Compaction Criteria</u> – All fill should be placed in uniform 12-inch-thick loose lifts and compacted. Fill in landscaped areas should be compacted to 90% of its maximum dry unit weight as determined by ASTM D1557; all other fill should be compacted to at least 95%. In restricted areas where only hand-operated compactors can be used, the maximum lift thickness should be limited to 8 inches. The appropriate water content at the time of compaction should be plus or minus 2% points of optimum as determined by the laboratory compaction tests of proposed fill. No backfill should be placed on areas where free water is standing or on frozen subsoil areas.

Temporary Groundwater Control

Groundwater was first encountered in the borings from about 8 to 15 feet below existing grade in all borings (about el. +274 to el. +255). Measurements within the proposed building footprint range from about 8 to 20 feet below existing grade (about el. +255 to el. +267).

We anticipate that dewatering will be required during construction for deeper excavations and to manage rainwater runoff. Water infiltration can likely be controlled using gravity-fed sump pumps via gravel trenches or sumps assisted with collector trenches; however, the final dewatering measures required should be evaluated and designed by the contractor. The dewatering measures implemented should adequately dewater all foundation-related excavations such that compaction of footing subgrades is feasible. Water runoff is expected to be controlled with the use of gravel-lined collection trenches, pits and submersible pumps. Care should be taken to ensure that drainage is provided during all phases of excavation work.

Environmental pretreatment of groundwater, if necessary, is beyond the scope of this work. Collected water should be discharged in accordance with applicable regulations.



SERVICES DURING DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS AND CONSTRUCTION QUALITY ASSURANCE

During final design, Langan should be retained to consult with the design team as geotechnical questions arise. Technical specifications and design drawings should incorporate our recommendations. When authorized, we will assist the design team in preparing specification sections related to geotechnical issues such as earthwork, shallow foundations, and backfill. Langan should also, when authorized, review the project plans and contractor submittals relating to materials and construction procedures for geotechnical work to confirm the designs incorporate the intent of our recommendations.

Langan has explored and interpreted the site subsurface conditions and developed the foundation design recommendations contained here, and is therefore best suited to perform quality-assurance observation and testing of geotechnical-related work during construction. The work requiring quality-assurance confirmation or special inspections per the Building Code includes, but is not limited to, earthwork, shallow foundations, and backfill.

Recognizing that construction observation is the final stage of geotechnical design, qualityassurance observation during construction by Langan is necessary to confirm the design assumptions and design elements, to maintain our continuity of responsibility on this project, and allow us to make changes to our recommendations, as necessary. The foundation system and general geotechnical construction methods recommended herein are predicated upon Langan's assisting with the final design and providing construction observation services for the owner. If Langan is not retained for these services, we cannot assume the role of geotechnical engineer of record, and the entity providing the final design and construction observation services must serve as the engineer of record.

LIMITATIONS

The conclusions and recommendations provided in this report result from our interpretation of the geotechnical conditions existing at the site inferred from a limited number of borings and test pits. Actual subsurface conditions may vary. Recommendations provided are dependent upon one another and no recommendation should be followed independent of the others.

Any proposed changes in structures or their locations should be brought to Langan's attention as soon as possible so we can determine whether such changes affect our recommendations. Information on subsurface strata and groundwater levels shown on the logs represent conditions encountered only at the locations indicated and at the time of our exploration. If different conditions are encountered during construction, they should immediately be brought to Langan's attention for evaluation because they might affect our recommendations.



This report has been prepared to assist the owner, architect, and structural engineer in the design process and is only applicable to the design of the specific project identified. The information in this report cannot be used or depended on by engineers or contractors involved in evaluations or designs of facilities (including underpinning, grouting, stabilization, etc.) on adjacent properties beyond the limits of that which is the specific subject of this report.

Environmental issues (such as permitting or potentially contaminated soil and groundwater) are outside the scope of this study and should be addressed in a separate evaluation.

CLOSING

We have appreciated being of service on this project, and look forward to working with you to successfully complete this project.

Sincerely, Langan MA, Inc.

Clayton Patterson Associate

Amy Blomeke, PE Senior Project Manager

cc: Doug Landry (Langan)


ACB: acb/cp/dll


Wangan.com/data/BOS/data3/151011301/Project Data/_Discipline/Geotechnical/Reports/Geotechnical Report/15011301 Geotech Report-Final.docx


Attachments:


nts:	Figure 1	Site Location
1113.	•	
	Figure 2	Surficial Geology Map
	Figure 3	Bedrock Geology Map
	Figure 4	Effective FEMA FIRM
	Figure 5	Exploration Location Plan
	Figure 6	Wachusett Aqueduct Crossing
	Appendix A	Langan Boring Logs
	Appendix B	Langan Test Pit Logs
	Appendix C	Langan Test Pit Photographs
	Appendix D	Well Construction Logs
	Appendix E	Laboratory Testing Results
	Appendix F	Pavement Design

FIGURES












Path: \\langan.com\data\\NH\/\other\EBurns\Projects\Internal\BOS\151011301\151011301.aprx Date: 4/3/2020 User: eburns Time: 1:17 PM



Filename: \\langan.com\data\BOS\data3\151011301\Project Data\CAD\01\SheetFiles\Figures\FG01-151011301-BL101-0101.dwg Date: 4/15/2020 Time: 12:33 User: ochasse Style Table: Langan.stb Layout: ANSIB-BL





Filename: \\angan.com\dataBOS\data3\151011301\Project Data\CAD\01\SheetFiles\Figures\Aqueduct Figures.dwg Date: 4/15/2020 Time: 12:15 User: ochasse Style Table: Langan.stb Layout: ANSIA-BP

20201

APPENDIX A LANGAN BORING LOGS

		NGA			Log			-		LB-01			Sheet 1	of
roject						Pro	oject N	lo.						
ocation		Copley Parcel H				Fle	evation	and D	atum	1510113	01			
20001		Bartlett St, Northbor	ouah. MA							Approx. 2	267 (NA		3)	
rilling C	compa		ough, w/			Da	ite Sta	rted			207 (147		-inished	
		Northern Drill Servic	es							2/28/2	0			2/28/20
rilling E	quipm					Co	mpleti	on Dep	oth			Rock	Depth	
ize and	Type	Mobile Drill B-48 AT	V Rig							10.3 Disturbed			disturbed	N/E Core
ize and	туре	4-1/4" ID Hollow Ste	m Auger			Nu	mber	of Sam	ples	Disturbed	6	Un	0	0
asing D	Diamet	er (in) N/A		C	asing Depth (ft) N/A	Wa	ater Le	vel (ft.))	First ☑	N/E	Co	mpletion N/A	24 HR. V N/A
asing ⊢	lamme	[₽] Ŋ/A	Weight (lbs)	N/A	Drop (in) N/A	Dr	illing F	oremar		· - ·				
ampler		2-inch-diameter split	t spoon			Fie	eld Eng	nineer		im Tuckei	ſ			
ampler	Hamn		Weight (lbs)	140	Drop (in) 30	1		Jineer	0	livia Chas	sse			
		/ atomato		110	00	-				Sample I				
MATERIAL SYMBOL	Elev. (ft)		Sample Descri	iption			Dept Scal		Type	Recov. (in) Penetr. resist	N-V	alue ws/ft)	(Drilling Fluid,	n arks Depth of Casing,
βÅ	+267.0			•				Nun	Ŷ	Per li	10 20	30 40	Fluid Loss, Drillin	g Resistance, etc.)
<u>1, \.1,</u>		Dark brown f-m S/	AND, some organ	nics, trac	e silt, trace fine		— 0 =	-	SS	WOH	1		S-1 at 0ft	
· 74 14 - 74	+266.0	gravel ─∖ (dry) [TOPSOIL]				_	- 1	_S-1/	۹.or	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	2.			
		Orangish brown f-	c SAND, some fir	ne grave	el, trace silt	_/	- '	-	I S	~ 2				
1 A A		(dry) [TILL]					- 2	_S-1E		3			S-2 at 2ft	
		Grayish brown fine (dry) [TILL]	e SAND, some sil	It				-	SS	2			Auger to 4ft	
							- 3	S-2	ss	8 3	7		Smooth drilli	ng
SH .							E	= "	Ē	4				
		Crowfing SAND	ama ailt				- 4	-			·		S-3 at 4ft	
1911)		Gray fine SAND, s (moist) [TILL]	some siit				Ē	=	ΙE	1 1			0-5 at 4it	
HA A		()[]					- 5		SS	8 5	12			
H							E	=	SS	7				
		Gray fine SAND, s	some silt				- 6		F	9			S-4 at 6ft	
Ø/J		(moist) [TILL]	Some Site				-	-		′			Auger to 8ft	
XXX							- 7	- S	s	5	16		Smooth drilli	ng
							E	-						
[]]]		Gray fine SAND, s	some silt				- 8	-					S-5 at 8ft	
		(moist) [TILL]					E	1						
							- 9	S-5	SS	° 70	15	\downarrow		
1 H	257.0						Ξ	-		17				
<u> </u>	+257.0. +256.7	¬ Grayish brown f-m	SAND. some fin	e aravel	. some silt		- 10 E	- S-6	SS	1 50/4		50/4	S-6 at 10ft	
		(dry) [COBBLES]	,	5	,			-					Rock in tip o Split spoon a	
		Bottom of Boring a	at 10.3ft				- 11 -	-					refusal.	-
							E 10	_						t 5ft NE. Blind t. Auger refusa
							- 12	-						illed with auge
							- 13	1					cuttings.	5
							È '3	-						
							- 14]						
							Ë '	1						
							- 15	_						
							Ē	-						
							- 16	4						
							Ē	-						
							- 17	-						
							F	=						
							- 18	-						
							F	-						
							- 19	-						

		NG/			Log		Boring	-		LB	-02			Sheet	1	of	2
Project						Pr	oject No	D.		4 - 1							
Locatio	n	Copley Parcel H				Ele	evation	and D	atum		0113	U1					
		Bartlett St, Northbo	rough, MA					-			rox. 2	270 (N	IAVD8	38)			
Drilling	Comp	any				Da	ate Star	ted						Finished			
Drillina	Fault	Northern Drill Servie	ces				moleti-	n Da	th	2	/27/20	0	Per	(Donth	2/2	27/20	
Drilling	⊏quip	Mobile Drill B-48 A	TV Rig				ompletio	л рер	11		27 1	ft	KOC	< Depth		N/E	
Size an	d Typ	e of Bit	-			NI	umber o	fCam		Dist	urbed		U	ndisturbed	C	Core	
Casing	Diam	3-1/4" ID Hollow Ste	em Auger		asing Depth (ft)	INU		i Sam	pies	Firs	+	9		ompletion		4 HR.	0
		N/A			NI/A		ater Lev	. ,		∇		15		∑ N/A			N/A
Casing	Hamn	^{ner} N/A	Weight (lbs)	N/A	Drop (in) N/A	Dr	illing Fo	remar									
Sample	r	2-inch-diameter spl	it spoon			Fie	eld Engi	ineer	T	im T	ucker	•					
Sample	r Ham	^{imer} Automatic	Weight (lbs)	140	Drop (in) 30	1	5.a 2g.		С	livia	Chas	se					
۲Ļ						-				Sa	mple [Data			lema	rke	
MATERIAL SYMBOL	Elev (ft)		Sample Descr	iption			Depth Scale		Type	Recov. (in)	Penetr. resist BI /6in	N-	Value ows/ft)	(Drilling Flu	id, Dep	oth of Casi	ng,
	+270.0	þ					L 0 ·	Nn				10 2	0 30 40		-	lesistance.	, etc.)
<u> </u>	269.	5 Dark brown f-m S ∖ gravel	AND, some silt, s	ome org	anics, trace fine	, г	Ę	<u>-S-1/</u>		12 10	9			S-1 at 0ft			
		(moist) [TOPSOIL	-]				- 1	-	SS	9	3 2	51					
		Orangish brown f	-m SAND, some f	ine grave	el, trace silt		E	- S-1E	B		2 1						
		Orangish brown f	-m SAND, trace s	ilt, trace	fine gravel		- 2	-			1			S-2 at 2ft			
	:	(dry)					-	-0	S		1			Auger to 4 Smooth d			
							- 3	-1- S-2	i i i	-	2						
							E 4	1			1			S-3 at 4ft			
		Light brown fine S (dry)	SAND, trace silt				Ē	-			3			5-5 at 41t			
							- 5	S-3	SS	54	4 5	9					
							E	-	SS		6						
		Orangish brown f	ine SAND, trace s	silt			- 6	-			6	+		S-4 at 6ft			
	-	(dry)						S-4	s	24	7			Auger to 8 Smooth d			
							- 7	- v	SS	Ň	6	13•			5		
xixix	262.0						- 8				9	4		S-5 at 8ft			
		Gray fine SAND, (moist) [TILL]	some silt				Ē	-			8			0-0 at oit			
		(- 9	S-5	SS	54	8	15•					
							Ē	-	I E	3	7						
HA H		Grayish brown fin	e SAND, some si	lt			- 10	1	Ē		7	1		S-6 at 10 Auger to			
		(moist) [TILL]					E 11	S-6	ss	22	7	15		Smooth d	rilling		
TH)							Ē	Ę	SS		8						
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX							- 12	1	╞		9	+					
IN A							È	1									
HAN.							- 13										
I A A							- 14	1									
ĦĦ							E 14	_									
<u>I</u> K		Grav fina SAND	somo silt			$\overline{\Delta}$	/ <u>-</u> - 15	1	╞	_				S-7 at 151	ft		
MA)		Gray fine SAND, (wet) [TILL]					F	-			4 5			Auger to 2	20ft		
<u>Ma</u>							- 16	S-7	SS	4	5	10		Smooth d	rilling		
HH							Ē	1			8						
H							- 17	1		1							
H.H.							- 18	Ŧ									
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX							Ę										
H.							- 19	-									
[]{{]}/							F	4									

roject		NGAN	Log of Boring Project No.			_B-0			Sheet	2	of	2
ocatior	<u>ר</u>	Copley Parcel H	Elevation and	Dat	1	5101	1301					
σσαιισί		Bartlett St, Northborough, MA		Dal		ppro	x. 270) (NAVD	38)			
7.1		~ ·					ole Dat					
MATERIAL SYMBOL	Elev. (ft) +250.0	Sample Description	Depth Scale	Number	Type	(in) Penetr	resist BL/6in	N-Value (Blows/ft) 10 20 30 40)		I IKS pth of Casing Resistance, e	g, etc.)
		Grayish brown fine SAND, some silt (wet) [TILL]	20 - 21 - 22 - 22 - 22 - 22 - 22 - 22 -	ŵ ŵ		91 3	3		Aude	it 20ft er to 25ft oth drilling]	
	. WALKEN WALKEN WALKEN	Grayish brown fine SAND, some silt (wet) [TILL]	23 - 24 - 25 - 25 - 20	<u>6-9</u>	8	4	3		S-9 a	ıt 25ft		
	243.0	Bottom of Boring at 27ft	26 - 26 - 27 - 27 - 28 - 28 - 28 - 28 - 28 - 28	^ی		- 3	6		Botto Borin cuttin	g backfill	ng at 27ft. ed with au	Igei
			- 29									
			- 31 - 32 -									
			- 33 34									
			35 - 36 - 36 -									
			- 37									
			- 39 - 40 -									
			41 - 42 -									
			43 - 44 -									

	Α	NGA	1/V		Lo	g o	of B	oring			LB-03		_	Sheet 1	of 2
Project							Pro	ject No.							
Location	<u> </u>	Copley Parcel H					Flov	vation a	nd Da		151011	301			
Location		Bartlett St, Northbord	ough MA				L161	10011			Approx.	272 (N	אַרו/ע	8)	
Drilling	Compa						Dat	e Starte	ed		Appiox.	212 (1		Finished	
		Northern Drill Servic	es								2/27/2	20			3/2/20
Drilling I	Equipn						Cor	npletior	n Dept	h			Rock	Depth	
0:		Mobile Drill B-48 AT	V Rig								27			- dia to observations and	N/E
Size an	атуре	3-1/4" ID Hollow Ste	m Auger				Nur	nber of	Samp	oles	Disturbe	ם 9		ndisturbed 0	Core 0
Casing	Diame	ter (in)	5	C	asing Depth (ft)		Wa	ter Leve	el (ft.)		First		Co	ompletion	24 HR.
Casing	Hamm	N/A eku/a	Weight (lbs)	N1/A	N/A Drop (in) N/A	`		ling For	• • •		<u> </u>	9		N/A	<u>⊥</u> N/A
Sample				N/A	IN/P					Ti	im Tucke	er			
Sample		2-inch-diameter split	Spoon Weight (lbs)		Drop (in)		Fiel	ld Engir	neer						
Sample		Automatic		140	30					0	livia Cha Sample				
RIAL 30L	Elev.					Reading	Ê	Depth	ē				Value		narks
MATERIAL SYMBOL	(ft)		ample Descripti	on		PID Re	ldd)	Scale	Number	Type	Recov. (in) Penetr. resist	19/7g (Bl	ows/ft)	(Drilling Fluid, Fluid Loss, Drillir	Depth of Casing, ng Resistance, etc.)
<u></u>	+272.0					Ē		_ 0 -	_ Z			10 2	0 30 40	S-1 at Oft	o , ,
, , , , , , ,		Dark brown f-m SA trace fine gravel	AND, some slit, s	ome org	anics,		-	-			1 1	1		-	
	271.0	🔨 (moist) [TÕPSOIL]					F	- 1 -		SS	9 1	2			
		Orangish brown f-i	n SAND, some f	ine sand	, trace silt		Ē	-				2			
	1	Grayish black f-c S	AND, some fine	gravel			F	- 2 -	-		2			S-2 at 2ft	
		(dry)					F					3		Auger to 4ft Smooth drilli	
							Ē	- 3 -	S-2	SS III	₽ 4	7		Chiocar anin	ing
	1							-	-	SS		3			
		Grayish black f-c S	SAND, some fine	gravel		0.	.1	- 4 -	-					S-3 at 4ft	
		(dry)					, E	- - 5 -	S-3	s	13	5 9•			
						0.	.'		- v	SS	4	9			
	1						E	- - 6 -	-			6		0.4	
		Grayish black f-c S (dry)	SAND, some fine	gravel			E		-		4			S-4 at 6ft Auger to 8ft	
	265.0						F	7 -	-S-4A	SS		5 12•		Smooth drilli	
		Grayish brown fine (moist) [TILL]	SAND, some si	lt			E	-			7				
HALL.		Gravish brown fine	SAND some si	lt			E	- 8 -	_S-4B		5	8		S-5 at 8ft	
III.		(wet) [TILL]	CAND, Some Sh		<u></u>	,	-	-	-		5	6			
UM,					$\overline{\Delta}$		E	- 9 -	S-5	SS	8 50	Ŭ 14∙			
HH							E	-	-			8			
		Grayish brown fine	SAND, some si	lt			F	- 10 -	-		7	-		S-6 at 10ft	_
<u>MH</u>		(wet) [TILL]					F		- 6	SS	_	7		Auger to 15f Smooth drilli	ft
							Ē	- 11 -	S-6	ŝ	6 24	16•		Chiocar anii	ing
H.							E	: - 12 -	-			9			
								12	-						
<u>IM</u>							E	- 13 -	-						
M							E		-						
<u>H</u> H								- - 14 -	-						
H.							E	-	-						
AL)		Grayish brown fine	SAND some si	lt			E	- 15 -	1	F	4	-		S-7 at 15ft	
J.H.		(wet) [TILL]					F	_	1.	SS		3		Auger to 20f	ft
III III							F	- 16 -	S-7	SS	6 7 3	Ŭ 6 ●		Smooth drilli	ing
HH -							Ē	-	-			2			
							F	- 17 -	-			-			
UM.							F	- 10	-						
IS IS							Ē	- 18 -	-						
AL.	1						E	- - 19 -							
HH.							F	- 61	1						
XX 1/2	\$						F	- 20 –	-						

LANGAN

roject		Carley David II		Project No.			454	04400	1						
ocatior	1	Copley Parcel H		Elevation a	nd Da	atum	151	01130)1						
		Bartlett St, Northborough, MA						rox. 2	72 (NAVD	38)				
۲Ч			ing			1		mple D			_	Da	emark	(e	
MATERIAL SYMBOL	Elev. (ft)	Sample Description	PID Reading	Depth d Scale	Number	Type	ecov.	Penetr. resist BL/6in	(N-Value Blows/ft)	(Dr	illing Fluid	, Depth	of Casing sistance, e	g,
≥" ??X/X	+252.0	Grayish brown fine SAND, some silt	Id	20	ź		ř.	≝ ≃ <u>∞</u> 3	10	20 30 40)	at 20ft		sistance, e	sic.)
		(wet) [TILL]			8		_	4			Aug	er to 28 both dri	5ft Ilina		
				- 21 -	S-8	SS	21	3	7•			Sour an	iiiig		
	NAL A			- 22 -	-			4							
				- 23 -											
				- 24 -											
J.J.		Grayish brown fine SAND, some silt (wet) [TILL]		- 25 -	1		1	3			S-9	at 25ft			
NH.				- 26 -	8-9 8-0	SS	20	4	8•						
H H	245.0			- 27 -		SS		5						-1.075	
		Bottom of Boring at 27ft									Bori	ing bac	kfilled	at 27ft with au	Jge
		Bottom of Bornig at 27 h		- 28 -							cutt	ings.			
				- 29 -											
				Ē											
				- 30 -											
				- 31 -											
				= = =											
				- 32 -											
				- 33 -											
				- 34 -											
				F :											
				- 35 -											
				- 35 -											
				- 30 -											
				- 37 -	1										
				- 38 -	1										
				- 39 -	1										
				- 40 -											
				- 35 - 36 - 37 - 38 - 39 - 40 - 41 - 41 - 42 - 43 - 43 - 44 - 44											
				42 -											
				- 43 -	1										
				- 44 -											
				45	1										

Project					Pr	oject No.										
	Copley Parcel H				_					01130	1					
ocation		novemb NAA			E	evation a	nd D				75 / 11		0)			
Drilling Com	Bartlett St, Northbo pany	rough, MA			Da	ate Starte	ed		Аррі	тох. 27	') C (IV		o) Finished			
-	Northern Drill Servi	ces							2/	27/20					2/27/20	
Drilling Equip					Co	ompletion	n Dep	oth				Rock	Depth			
Size and Typ	Mobile Drill B-48 A	TV Rig			-				Distu	27 ft irbed		Un	ndisturbed		N/E Core	
	3-1/4" ID Hollow St	em Auger			Νι	umber of	Sam	ples	E ' 1		9			0	04.115	0
asing Diam	N/A			Casing Depth (ft) N/A	W	ater Leve	el (ft.))	First		8		mpletion	N/A	24 HR.	N/A
Casing Ham	^{me} N/A	Weight (Ibs)	N/A	Drop (in) N/A	Dr	illing For	emar									
Sampler	2-inch-diameter sp	it spoon			Fie	eld Engin	eer	Т	im Tı	ucker						
ampler Har		Weight (lbs)	140	Drop (in) 30	1	Sid Erigin	1001	0	livia	Chass	e					
L L		I			•				Sar	nple D	ata		_	Pon	narks	
(ft) Elev		Sample Desci	ription			Depth Scale	Number	ype	in) .	Penetr. resist BL/6in		'alue ws/ft)		ng Fluid, l	Depth of C	
+2/5	.0					L 0 -	Ž				10 20	30 40			g Resistar	ice, etc.)
<u>.</u>	Dark brown f-m S gravel (dry)[TOP	SAND, some silt, s	some or	ganics, trace fine		Ę	-	IE		WOH			S-1 a			
274	Orangish brown 1	-	silt trac	e fine gravel		- 1 -	_S-1/ -	SS	18	WOH 1	1					
	trace roots	III OAND, SUIIE	ont, trati	o nito gravel,		E_ E	- 			' 1						
	(dry) Orangish brown f	-m SAND, some	fine aray	/el. trace silt		2 -	-			3			S-2 a	t 2ft r to 4ft		
	(dry)		inte gra			- 3 -	S-2	s	8	5	11			oth drilli	ng	
							- S	SS		6						
	Grayish to orangi	sh brown f-m SAI	ND som	ne fine gravel		- 4 -	-			5 2			S-3 a	t 4ft		
	trace silt		1 D, 3011	ie inie gravei,			_			2 5						
	(dry)					- 5 -	S-3	SS	4		0					
+269	.0						-		14	6						
	Grayish brown fir	ne SAND, some s	ilt			6 -	-			5			S-4 a	t 6ft r to 8ft		
	(moist) [TILL]					- 7 -	S-4	ss	54	6	10			oth drilli	ng	
					_		= "		24	4 3						
	Grayish brown fir	ne SAND, some s	ilt		¥	8 -				1			S-5 a	t 8ft		
H.H.	(weť) [TILL]	·						S		2	.					
						- 9 -	γ	S	15	3						
						- 10 -	-			5			S-6 a	+ 10#		
	Grayish brown fir (wet) [TILL]	ie SAND, some s	llt				-		24	5			Auge	r to 15f	t	
						- 11 -	- 9- - 9-	SS	24	5 6	11		Smoo	oth drilli	ng	
							-			7						
						- 12 -	-									
						- 13 -	_									
							1									
						- 14 -										
III A						È :	_									
	Grayish brown fir	ne SAND, some s	ilt			- 15 -	<u>+</u>	E		3			S-7 a			
<u>B</u>	(wet) [TILL]					L 16	-1	s	17	3				r to 20f oth drilli		
						- 16 -	S-7	SS		4	1				5	
HA HA						- 17 -	1			5						
						Ē	-									
THE STATES						- 18 -	-									
							-									
						- 19 -	1									
HAA						E 20 -	1									

roject			Project No.											
ocatior	<u>ו</u>	Copley Parcel H	Elevation and	Dat	, tum	151	01130	1						
Juanol		Bartlett St, Northborough, MA		υa		Арр	rox. 2	75 (1	NAVD	38)				
		~ ·					mple D							
MATERIAL SYMBOL	Elev. (ft) +255.0	Sample Description	Depth Scale	Number	Type	Recov. (in)	Penetr. resist BL/6in	N (B 10	l-Value lows/ft) 20 30 40		illing Fluid Loss, Dri		KS h of Casin sistance,	g, etc.)
		Grayish brown fine SAND, some silt (wet) [TILL]	22	Ω Λ	SS	16	3 4 4 5	8•		Aug	at 20ft er to 2 both dr	5ft		
	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	Grayish brown fine SAND, some silt (wet) [TILL]	- 23 - 24 - 25 - 26 - 26	0-0	SS	18	4 5	10•		S-9	at 25ft			
HATTA HILL	248.0	Bottom of Boring at 27ft	27 - 28 - 29 -				9			Bori	om of l ng bac ngs.	ooring kfilled	g at 27ft I with a	Jgei
			- 30 31 -											
			- 32 -											
			33											
			- 34											
			36											
			37											
			38											
			40											
			41 -											
			42 - 43 -											
			44 -											

Project	NG/			LUY	of Boring Project No.	-	B-05			Sheet 1	of	2
	Copley Parcel H					1	5101130 ⁻	1				
ocation					Elevation and Da				(5.00			
Drilling Compa	Bartlett St, Northbo any	rough, MA			Date Started	A	oprox. 28			3) Finished		
	Northern Drill Servi	ices					2/28/20				2/28/20	
Drilling Equip	ment Mobile Drill B-48 A	T\/ Rig			Completion Dept	th	27 ft		Rock	Depth	N/E	
lize and Type	e of Bit	-			Number of Samp	Des D	isturbed		Un	disturbed	Core	
asing Diame	4-1/4" ID Hollow St eter (in)	em Auger	(Casing Depth (ft)		F	rst	9	Co	0 mpletion	24 HR.	0
asing Hamm	N/A	Weight (lbs)		N/A Drop (in)	Water Level (ft.) Drilling Foreman		<u>V</u>	12		12.5	Ţ	N/A
ampler			N/A	N/A	-		Tucker					
ampler Ham	2-inch-diameter spl	lit spoon Weight (lbs)	4.40	Drop (in)	Field Engineer	0.1						
	^{mer} Automatic		140	30			i <mark>a Chass</mark> Sample Da					
Elev. (ft)		Sample Desc	ription		Depth back Scale L	Type Recov.	(in) Penetr. resist BL/6in	N-Va (Blows		(Drilling Fluid,	narks Depth of C	asing,
⁴ 284.0					0	Ee	B ^{re}	10 20 3	80 40	Fluid Loss, Drillir S-1 at Oft	ng Resistar	ice, etc.)
	Orangish brown f (dry)	f-m SAND, trace	silt			SS	1			3-1 at 01t		
	()/				- 1 - J.	SE S	° 3 ['] 4	1				
					2		3			0.0		
	Orangish brown f (dry)	fine SAND, trace	silt			日目	4			S-2 at 2ft Auger to 4ft		
					- 3 - ^C / _o	s d	⁺ 5	9		Smooth drill	ing	
							5					
	Orangish brown f (dry)	fine SAND, trace	silt		E 3		2			S-3 at 4ft		
	(ary)				- 5 - °,	s 🛛 s	$\left \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 3 \end{array} \right _{3}$	7				
						s	5					
	Grayish brown fir (dry)	ne SAND, trace s	silt		6		4			S-4 at 6ft Auger to 8ft		
	(ury)				- 7 - 5	IS I S		B		Smooth drill	ing	
						SS IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	4					
	Grayish brown fir	ne SAND, trace s	silt		- 8		3			S-5 at 8ft		
	(moist)				2-2- 2-2- 2-2-	ss		 				
						SS IIIIII	3					
	Gravish brown fir	ne SAND, trace s	silt		- 10		5			S-6 at 10ft Auger to 15f	4	
	(moist)				- 11 - 0	ss	4	B		Smooth drill		
						Ĩ₿`	4 5					
						┝╺╡╴	5					
					- 13 -							
					14							
					- 15					07.1.5		
	Orangish to redd silt	ish brown f-c SA	ND, trace	e coal ash, trace			3			S-7 at 15ft Auger to 20f	ť	
	(wet)				- 16 - L	s	≥ 5 5	0		Smooth drill	ing	
							4					
					- 17							
266.0	?	?	-?	?								
A A A					- 19 -							

roject		NBAN	Log of Boring Project No.			_B-				Sheet	2	of	2
0004:-		Copley Parcel H		D-1	1	510	11301						
ocatior	1	Bartlett St, Northborough, MA	Elevation and	Dat		Appr	3)						
			I				nple Da	-		· ,			
MATERIAL SYMBOL	Elev. (ft) +264.0	Sample Description	Depth Scale	Number			Penetr. resist BL/6in	N-Val (Blows	/ft)			rks oth of Casin Resistance, e	g, etc.)
		Grayish brown fine SAND, some silt (wet) [TILL]	20 - 21 - 22 - 22 - 23 - 23 - 23 - 23 - 23	89 89	SS	19	4 6 4 4	D •		S-8 at Auger Smoo	20ft to 25ft th drilling	l	
	~~~~~	Grayish brown fine SAND, some silt (wet) [TILL]	- 24 -	6-S	SS	15	3 6 5	1-		S-9 at	25ft		
<u> Al</u>	2+257.0	Bottom of Boring at 27ft	27 - 28 - 29 - 29 -				7			Obser	vation we	ng at 27ft ell installe ostructior	ed.
			30 - 31 - 32 - 32 -										
			- 33 - 34 -										
			37 -										
			- 39 - - 40 -										
			43 -										
			45										

roject						Pr	oject No.						-			
-		Copley Parcel H								151	01130	1				
ocation						Ele	evation a	nd D								
illina C	Compa	Bartlett St, Northbor	ough, MA			Da	ate Starte	ed .		Арр	rox. 28	87 (NA	VD88	3) Finished		
	pai	Northern Drill Servic	es			[]		-		2	27/20				2/27/20	
lling E	quipm					Сс	mpletion	Dep	th				Rock	Depth		
o a '	T. /	Mobile Drill B-48 AT	V Rig							D:-/	27 ft			diaturba d	N/E	
.e and	Туре	3-1/4" ID Hollow Ste	m Auger			Nu	mber of	Sam	ples	UISt	urbed	9	Un	disturbed 0	Core	0
sing C	Diamet	er (in)	<b>v</b>	C	asing Depth (ft)	Wa	ater Leve	el (ft.)		First		-		mpletion	24 HR.	
sina ⊢	lamme	N/A	Weight (lbs)		N/A Drop (in) N/A		illing For	• • •		<u> </u>		15		N/A	Ţ	N/A
mpler				N/A	N/A		5			m T	ucker					
	Hamm	2-inch-diameter split	t spoon Weight (lbs)		Drop (in)	Fie	eld Engin	eer								
		Automatic		140	30	1			0		Chase nple D					
MA I EKIAL SYMBOL	Elev.		Sample Desci	rintion			Depth	Jer	e			N-V	alue		narks	
SYN.	(ft) ⊧287.0		Jample Desci	ιμιση			Scale	Number	Type	Recov. (in)	Penetr. resist BL/6in		ws/ft) 30 40	(Drilling Fluid, Fluid Loss, Drillin	⊔eptn of C g Resistar	asing, ice, etc.)
. <u>\. '</u> .	201.0	Dark brown f-m S	AND, some silt	some ora	anics, trace fine		<u> </u>	-			1	10 20	30 40	S-1 at Oft		
11, 1	+286.0	gravel	,, <b>.</b>	9	, , <b>.</b>	_	E 1	S-1/	SS	12	1					
		<ul> <li>(dry) [TOPSOIL]</li> <li>Orangish brown f-</li> </ul>	m SAND, some	silt, trace	gravel		╞ <u></u>	-	S	-	1 2					
		(dry)	·		0		- 2 -	S-1E			1			S-2 at 2ft		
•••••		Orangish brown f- (dry)	m SAND, some	siit, trace	gravel		E - :		ΙE		WOH			Auger to 4ft		
::::		· • ·					- 3 -	s-2/	ss	െ	WOH WOH			Smooth drilli	ng	
		Grayish black f-c \$	SAND, trace fine	gravel			È :		SS SS		wон 5					
		(dry)		-			- 4 -	<u>3-2t</u>			6			S-3 at 4ft		
		Grayish brown fine (dry)	SAND, SOME S	nt				S-3	SS	18	4					
							- 5 -	Ś	S	Ť	4					
•		One data t		14			6 -	1	ĻΕ		5			S-4 at 6ft		
		Grayish brown fine (dry)	e SAND, trace si	IL			E	1	SS		5			Auger to 8ft		
•••••							- 7 -	S4	ss	21	5 4	9		Smooth drilli	ng	
							È :				з					
		Grayish brown fine	e SAND, trace si	lt			- 8 -		ΤĒ		2			S-5 at 8ft		
		(dry)					- 9 -	Ļ	SS	0	2					
								, v	S	7	2					
		Crovich brown for	SAND trace -	14			- 10 -	1	SS		2			S-6 at 10ft		
•		Grayish brown fine (moist)	SAND, TRACE SI	ıı			Ę	-			3			Auger to 15f		
····		- *					- 11 -	S-6	SS	22	3 2	5 <b>+</b>		Smooth drilli	ng	
· · · ·							E :		ΙĒ		2					
							- 12 -	-								
••••••							- 13 -	1								
							E '	1								
							- 14 -	-								
						$\overline{}$	E		1							
		Grayish brown fine	e SAND, trace si	lt		<u> </u>	- 15 -	_	tΕ		7			S-7 at 15ft		
		(wet)					- 16	- -	s	0	9			Auger to 20f Smooth drilli		
							- 16 -	S-7	SS	20	9	18•			3	
××/×	+270.0						- 17 -	1	Ļ Ē		9					
J.A							Ę		1							
ЭД)							- 18 -		1							
H							È, i	1	1							
<i>MA</i>							- 19 -	-								
XXX							E :	-	1							

roject			Project No.										
ocation		Copley Parcel H	Elevation and	Dat		151	01130 [.]	1					
ocatior	I	Bartlett St, Northborough, MA	Elevation and	Dat		Apn	rox. 28	87 (NA)	VD8P	3)			
			I				mple Da	-		.,			
MATERIAL SYMBOL	Elev. (ft) +267.0	Sample Description	Depth Scale	Number	Type		Penetr. resist BL/6in	N-Va (Blows	s/ft)			r <b>ks</b> oth of Casing esistance, e	j, ∍tc.)
		Grayish brown fine SAND, some silt (wet) [TILL]	22	α Λ	SS	24	4 4 4 4	8•		Auger		ed to aug	jers
		Grayish brown fine SAND, some silt (wet) [TILL]	- 23 - 24 - 25 - 26 - 2	9-9 0-0	SS	24	3 4 4	8•		S-9 at Water		ed to aug	jers
P.C.	260.0	Bottom of Boring at 27ft	27 - 28 - 28 - 29 -				4			Botton Boring cutting	backfille	ig at 27ft. Id with au	igei
			30 - 31 - 31 -										
			32										
			- 33 -										
			34										
			- 36 -										
			- 37 -										
			- 38 -										
			40										
			41 -										
			42 - 43 -										
			44 -										

		NG			Log		Boring		L	.B-07			Sheet	1	of	f 2
Project						Pr	oject No.									
ocation		Copley Parcel H				E1.	evation ar			510113	01					
Jocation		Bartlett St North	borough MA				evation ar	iu Da		nnroy	280 /11	ססט//ע	8)			
Drilling C		Bartlett St, North				Da	ate Starte	ł	Α	φμισχ.	289 (NA		5) Finished			
		Northern Drill Se	rvices							2/27/2	20			:	2/27/20	1
Drilling E	quipm	ent				Co	ompletion	Dept	h			Rock I	Depth			
	T	Mobile Drill B-48	ATV Rig			_			F	22		111.	diaturk a -1		N/E	
Size and		of Bit 3-1/4" ID Hollow	Stem Auger			Nu	umber of S	Samp	les	isturbec	8	Uno	disturbed	0	Core	0
Casing D	)iamet	er (in)	<u> </u>	0	Casing Depth (ft)	w	ater Leve	(ft.)		irst			mpletion	-	24 HR.	-
Casing H		N/A	Weight (lbs)		N/A Drop (in) N/A		illing Fore	• •		$\overline{\Delta}$	15		<u> </u>	/A	Ţ	N/A
Sampler				N/A	``´N/A	-	5		Tim	n Tucke	r					
•		2-inch-diameter			Drop (in)	Fie	eld Engine	er								
Sampler	Hamm	^{her} Automatic	Weight (lbs)	140	Drop (in) 30		1			<u>/ia Cha</u>			r			
20L	Elev.		_				Depth	۲		Sample		alue			arks	
SYM	(ft)		Sample Desc	ription			Scale	Number	Type	(in) Penetr. resist	(Blov	ws/ft)	(Drilling F Fluid Loss,	Fluid, E Drilling	Depth of C	asing,
-	-289.0		( 041)5		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	ż			10 20	30 40	S-1 at 0		,	, 510.)
		Orangish brow (dry)	n f-c SAND, some	silt, some	e fine gravel		-		SS	1						
		()/					- 1 -	Ϋ́	SS	<u>۳</u> 4	<b>5</b> 7					
									Ħ	6	3					
			n f-c SAND, some t	fine grav	el, trace silt		2 -		H	6	$\neg$		S-2 at 2			
		(dry)						Ņ	。目。				Auger to Smooth		าต	
							- 3 -	S-2	S III	6	12•				3	
				-			- 4 -		SS	9	)					
		Orangish brow (dry)	n f-c SAND, some t	fine grav	el, trace silt		=		E	4			S-3 at 4	It		
		(dry)					- 5 -	S-3A	ss	9 9	³ 11 •					
		Craviah brown	f-m SAND, trace si	1+				3-3A	SS	5						
		(dry)					- 6 -	S-3B	_ <b>_</b>	5	5		S-4 at 6	ft		
			fine SAND, trace s	ilt			= =			_ 5			Auger to	o 8ft		
		(dry)					- 7 -	S-4	SE	ຊ ₅ ີ	´ 11 •		Smooth	drillir	ng	
							E . :		SS	٦ ا	3					
			fine SAND, trace s	ilt			- 8 -		目	4	7  \		S-5 at 8	ft		
		(dry)					- 9 -	S-5	ss	പെ പ	19					
· · · · · · ]								ò	S	10	19					
www.	-279.0						- 10 -			10	<u>  </u>		S-6 at 1	∩f+		
		Grayish brown (moist) [TILL]	fine SAND, some s	silt					SS	9			Auger to	) 15ft		
IBA)							- 11 -	S-6	SS	⁷ 54	16•		Smooth	drillir	ng	
11 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A							<u> </u>		目							
UKA							- 12 -		-4		4					
HAA)							E =									
							- 13 -									
HH)																
							- 14 -									
<u>H</u>						$\Sigma$	7 - - 15 -						S-7 at 1	5ft		
XXXX		Grayish brown (wet) [TILL]	fine SAND, some s	SIIT					E	3			Auger to			
HAAAAAAAAA AMAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA		( ·) [·· <b></b> ]					- 16 -	S-7	SS	9 [ 4	5 10 -		Smooth			
							<u> </u>		I	5	,					
I BA							- 17 -		-4		7					
HH)																
<u>H</u>							- 18 -									
HAN SA																
AL.							- 19 -									
121D							È_20 _=									

Project		NGAN	Log of Boring Project N				B-0	1		Sheet 2 of 2
		Copley Parcel H				15	101	130	1	
ocatio	n		Elevation	and C	Datur				39 (NAVD8	.0)
	<u>т т</u>	Bartlett St, Northborough, MA	I					x. Zo		
MATERIAL SYMBOL	Elev. (ft)	Sample Description	Dept Scal	h mper	Tvpe			BL/6in	N-Value (Blows/ft)	(Drilling Fluid, Depth of Casing, Fluid Loss, Drilling Resistance, etc.)
H)	+269.0	Grayish brown fine SAND, some silt	20				7		10 20 30 40	S-8 at 20ft
		(wet) [TILL]	- 21	S-8-S	SS	21	3	3	5•	
Ø.H	2+267.0		22	-		<u> </u>		2		Bottom of boring at 22ft.
		Bottom of Boring at 22ft	- 23							Boring backfilled with auger cuttings.
			- 24	-						
			E							
			- 25							
			- 26							
			- 27							
			- 28							
			- - 29							
			- 30							
			- 31							
			- 32							
			- 33							
			- 34							
			- 35							
			- 36							
			- 37							
			- 38							
			- 39							
			40							
			- 41							
			42							
			43							
			- 44							
				3						

		of Boring LB-08	Sheet 1 of 2
Project	Copley Parcel H	Project No. 151011301	
Location		Elevation and Datum	
Drilling Compa	Bartlett St, Northborough, MA	Approx. 270 (NAVD8 Date Started Date	38) e Finished
•	Northern Drill Services	2/28/20	2/28/20
Drilling Equipm			k Depth
Cite and Turne	Mobile Drill B-48 ATV Rig	27 ft Disturbed U	N/E
	4-1/4" ID Hollow Stem Auger	Number of Samples 9	Indisturbed Core 0 0
Casing Diamet	NI/A NI/A	Water Level (ft.)	Completion 24 HR. ▼ 16.7 ▼ N/A
Casing Hamme	N/A Weight (lbs) N/A Drop (in) N/A	Drilling Foreman	
Sampler	2-inch-diameter split spoon	Tim Tucker	
Sampler Hamn		Olivia Chasse	
AL		Sample Data	Remarks
Elev. (ft) \$270.0	Sample Description	Depth Scale Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z	(Drilling Fluid, Depth of Casing, Fluid Loss, Drilling Resistance, etc.)
<u>x /y</u> <u>x /y</u>	Dark brown f-m SAND, some silt, some fine gravel		S-1 at 0ft
1. 24 14 · 74	(dry) [TOPSOIL]		
<u>2 1/2 2</u> 268.0	Grayish brown f-c SAND, some fine gravel, trace silt		S-2 at 2ft
	(dry)		Auger to 4ft Smooth drilling
	Gray fine SAND, some silt	$E =  \Xi ^3      $	
	(dry) [TILL] Gray fine SAND, some silt	-4 $-S-2B$ $3$ $3$ $-$	S-3 at 4ft
	(dry) [TILL]		
		$5 - \frac{6}{5} \otimes \frac{1}{5} \otimes $	
	Gray fine SAND, some silt (dry) [TILL]		S-4 at 6ft Auger to 8ft
			Smooth drilling
	Gray fine SAND, some silt (wet) [TILL]		S-5 at 8ft
	(wei)[IILL]		
	Gray fine SAND, some silt		S-6 at 10ft
	(wet) [TILL]		Auger to 15ft Smooth drilling
			_
		$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 $	
	Gray fine SAND, some silt		S-7 at 15ft
	(wet) [TILL]	$ \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} $	Auger to 20ft Smooth drilling
		- 18 -	
TKA		20 III III	

Project		NGAN	Log of Boring Project No.		LB-08		Sheet			2
ocation	1	Copley Parcel H	Elevation and	Datum	151011301					
		Bartlett St, Northborough, MA			Approx. 270 (NA	VD8	3)			
RIAL 30L	Elev.		Depth	e -	Sample Data	alue		Rema	rks	
MATERIAL SYMBOL	(ft) +250.0	Sample Description	Scale	Type	(in) (in) (in) (in) (in) (in) (in) (in)	vs/ft)			th of Casing esistance, e	, tc.)
		Gray fine SAND, some silt (wet) [TILL]	20		3		S-8 at Auger	to 25ft		
			21 - 21	α-ο SS			Smoot	h drilling		
			22		2					
			- 23 -							
			24 -							
		Gray fine SAND, some silt	25 -		3		S-9 at	25ft		
		(wet) [TILL]	26 - 2	ss ss						
				^  ″						
//////	+243.0	Bottom of Boring at 27ft	27				Botton Obser	n of borin vation we	g at 27ft. ell installe	d.
		Bottom of Boning at 27 it	28				Refer	to well co	struction	loę
			_ 29 _							
			30							
			32							
			- 33 -							
			- 34 -							
			- 35 -							
			36 –							
			- 37 -							
			- 38 -							
			- 39 -							
			40							
			E E							
			- 41 -							
			42 -							
			43							
			- 44 -							
			- 44 -							

		NG			Log		Boring	-		LB-	09			Sheet	1	0	f	2
Project						Pr	oject N	0.										
ocation		Copley Parcel H				E	evation	and Da		1510	1130	1						
		Bartlett St, Northb	orough, MA							Appr	ox. 27	7 (NA	VD88	3)				
Drilling (	Compa	ny	0			Da	ate Star	ted						- inished				
Drilling E	Fauinm	Northern Drill Serv	/ices			0	ompletic	n Den	th	2/2	28/20		Rock	Denth		2/28/20	)	
		Mobile Drill B-48 A	ATV Ria				mpieut	n neh			27 ft			Cobri		N/E		
Size and	d Type	of Bit				Nı	umber c	of Sami	ples	Distu		I	Un	disturbed	^	Core		_
Casing [	Diamet		nem Auger	0	Casing Depth (ft)	_			·	First		9	Co	mpletion	0	2 <u>4 H</u> R		
		N/A	Weight (lbs)		NI/A		ater Lev			$\overline{\Delta}$		10			N/A	Ţ	N/A	١
Casing H Sampler		⁵N/A		N/A	Drop (in) N/A		ining i t	aonal		n Tu	cker							
•		2-inch-diameter sp	blit spoon Weight (lbs)		Drop (ip)	_ Fi	eld Eng	ineer										
Sampler	r Hamn	^{her} Automatic		140	Drop (in) 30		1		Ol		Chass							
MATERIAL SYMBOL	Elev.		Correla D-	rin4:			Dept	n bī	۵		nple Da ≓ ⊯ ⊑	N-Va				narks		
MATE SYM	(ft)		Sample Desc	ription			Scale		Type	(in)	Penetr. resist BL/6in	(Blov 10 20	/s/ft)	(Drilling) Fluid Loss	l Fluid, I s, Drillin	Depth of 0 g Resista	Casing, nce, etc.	.)
<u></u> <u></u>	+277.0	Dark brown f-m	SAND, some fine	gravel.	some organics		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			т WOH	10 20	30 40	S-1 at (	Oft			
· <u>}</u> ,	+276.0	trace silt		J 2., C			Ē 1	-S-1A	ss	4	NOH							
	1		l fine SAND, some	silt			È '	1	SS	-	1							
		(dry) Gray fine SAND					- 2	_S-1E	目		2			S-2 at 2	2ft			
		(dry)	, uaue siil				Ē		SS		2 4			Auger t	to 4ft			
							- 3	S-2	SS	15	6	0		Smooth	n drilli	ng		
	1						F.	-	日日		7							
		Gray fine SAND	, trace silt				- 4	-			3			S-3 at 4	4ft			
		(dry)					- 5	-1-1- S-3	ss	18	3	,						
							Ē	S	SS	-	4							
XXXX	271.0						<del>[</del> 6	1	┼╞╡		3 4			S-4 at 6	6ft			
		Gray fine SAND (dry) [TILL]	, some slit				E	1_	日日		4 3			Auger t	to 8ft			
XXX.							E 7	S-4	ISS I	22	5	8		Smooth	n arilli	ng		
							- 8	-	SS SS		4							
H.		Gray fine SAND (moist) [TILL]	, some silt				Ȱ	-	日目	Τ	3			S-5 at 8	8ft			
							- 9	S-5	ss	22	4	7						
HA H						. <u> </u>	E	Ę			3 4							
I A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		Grayish brown fi	ine SAND, some s	silt		Ā	/- - - - 10	1	十目	-+	4 5			S-6 at 7				
YH)		(weť) [TILL]					Ē	19	SS	4	5			Auger t Smooth	to 15fi h drilli	t na		
							F 11	-1- S-6	I si	24	4	9				.9		
THI.							- 12	1	目		6							
HAN IN							Ē	-										
							- 13	-										
H.							Ē,.	-										
TAN.							- 14	-										
MH.			0.4415				- 15	1						07-1	154			
J.H.		Grayish brown fi (wet) [TILL]	ine SAND, some s	silt			Ē	1	日日		3 -			S-7 at ² Auger t	to 20f			
M		( )[]					- 16		ss	17	7 6	13•		Smooth				
<u>M</u>							Ē		SS		6 6							
HH.							E 17	-	╎╴╡									
A.							- - 10											
IN IN							- 18	7										
AN STAT							- - 19	-										
H.							E	1										
HHH.							È_20	1										

Project			Log of Boring Project No.		LB-09	9	31	neet	2	of	2
ocation	1	Copley Parcel H	Elevation and	Datum	151011	1301					
Juanol		Bartlett St, Northborough, MA		Datui		. 277 (NAV	D88)				
OL	Elev.		Depth	2		e Data .⊆ N-Valu			Rema	rks	
MATERIAL SYMBOL	(ft) +257.0	Sample Description	Scale	Type	Recov. (in) Penetr.	(Blows/1	t) 40 FI		g Fluid, Dep s, Drilling R	th of Casing esistance, e	g, etc.)
		Grayish brown fine SAND, some silt (wet) [TILL]	20		3		A	S-8 at Auger	to 25ft		
i Ni Hi Ni Hi			21 - 21	ss ss ss	⁵	⁴ 9	S	Smoot	h drilling		
			22			5					
			- 23 -								
			- 24 -								
HAN STATE		No Recovery	25		3		s	6-9 at	25ft		
			26	6-2 SS	3 0 3	⁴ <b>7</b> •					
4777 4777	+250.0		27 -			2		Bottom	ı of borin	g at 27ft	
		Bottom of Boring at 27ft	- 28 -				E	Boring	backfille	d with au	lge
								-			
			- 29 -								
			- 30 -								
			31 -								
			32 -								
			- 33 -								
			- 34 -								
			35 -								
			36								
			37								
			E F								
			- 38 -								
			- 39 -								
			40								
			40								
			F								
			- 43 -								
			44 -								
			<u>45 _</u>								

## APPENDIX B LANGAN TEST PIT LOGS

	pley P	arcel H	PROJE	CT NUMBER		5101	1301	DATE	2/25/2020
OCATION	N		ELEVA	TION				Annrox	. 272 (NAVD88)
XCAVAT	ION COI	NTRACTOR	DEPTH		0.5		WATER LI	EVEL - First	WATER LEVEL - Comp
QUIPME	rggaar _{NT}		FORE	IAN	9.51		•	4.5 ft LANGAN PERS	N/A SONNEL
Hita	achi E	xcavator 450				hris N IPLE	/lerrill		Olivia Chasse
ymbol	ELEV (feet)	DESCRIPTION		Depth Scale	Number	Type		REM	ARKS
<u>1.</u> . <u></u>	+272.0	Dark brown f-m SAND, some fine gravel, some roots, trace (dry) [TOPSOIL]	silt	- 0		GRAB	excavat		intained during
	1271.0	Orangish brown f-m SAND, some fine gravel, some silt (dry)		  - 2 -	S-2	GRAB	Roots e	ncountered fro	om about 0ft to 1.5ft
	+269.8	Gray fine SAND, some silt, trace fine gravel (moist) [TILL]	Ţ		S-3	GRAB	Water e walls at		eping in from side
	+262.5 ·	Bottom of Test Pit at 9.5ft					Test pit 1-2 foot		5ft. excavated material acted with the
				- 11 - - 11 - 					
				- 12 - 					
				- 13 - 					
		GAN		14	1				

#### LOG OF TEST PIT TP-002 Sheet of 1 1 PROJECT NAME PROJECT NUMBER DATE Copley Parcel H 151011301 2/25/2020 LOCATION ELEVATION Bartlett St, Northborough, MA Approx. 264 (NAVD88) EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR DEPTH WATER LEVEL - First WATER LEVEL - Completion Borggaard 9 ft N/E N/A LANGAN PERSONNEL EQUIPMENT FOREMAN Hitachi Excavator 450 Olivia Chasse Chris Merrill SAMPLE Depth Symbol ELEV (feet) DESCRIPTION Number REMARKS Type Scale 0 GRAB +264.0 Vertical side walls maintained during <u>°</u> Dark brown f-m SAND, some fine gravel, some organics, some excavation silt <u>1, 16</u>. Some bucket resistance (dry) [TOPSOIL] No groundwater encountered 1 +263.0 Orangish brown f-m SAND, some f-m gravel, some silt, trace GRAB roots S-2 2 Log - LANGANTF (dry) 3 +261.0 Roots encountered from about 0ft-3ft Report: AN 4 10:46:01 Gray fine SAND, some silt, some f-m gravel, trace cobbles (moist) [TILL] 3/10/2020 5 Some redox striations between 3ft-5ft GRAB S-S <u>G</u>D FRPRISE 6 Z 1301 7 GINT OGS/15101 8 \LANGAN.COM\DATA\BOS\DATA3\151011301\PROJECT DATA_DISCIPLINE\GE0TECHNICAL +255.0 9 Bottom of test pit at 9ft. Test pit backfilled with excavated material in Bottom of Test Pit at 9ft 1-2 foot lifts and compacted with the excavator bucket. 10 11 12 13 14 LANGAN

### LOG OF TEST PIT TP-003

	LOG OF TES			<u>0</u>	00		DATE
PROJECT NAME	arcel H				5101	1301	2/25/2020
Bartlett S	t, Northborough, MA	ELEVAT	ION				Approx. 269 (NAVD88)
EXCAVATION CON	NTRACTOR	DEPTH		9 f	ť	WATER LEV	
Borggaar EQUIPMENT Hitachi F	xcavator 450	FOREM	AN			Merrill	LANGAN PERSONNEL Olivia Chasse
				SAN	IPLE		
Symbol ELEV (feet)	DESCRIPTION		Depth Scale	Number	Type		REMARKS
+ 1/y + 269.0 + + 269.0	Dark brown f-m SAND, some fine gravel, some organics, trasilt	ace	— U —	S-1	GRAB	Vertical s excavatio	ide walls maintained during
<u></u> +268.0	(dry) [TOPSOIL]		· · · ·				oucket resistance idwater encountered
	Orangish brown f-m SAND, some fine gravel, some silt, trac roots (dry)	ce	2	S-2	GRAB		
+266.3			3			Roots en	countered from about 0ft-2.5ft
	Gray fine SAND, some silt (moist) [TILL]	-	4	-			
		-	6	S-3	GRAB		
		-	. 7				
		-	8				
	Bottom of Test Pit at 9ft		9	-		Test pit b	f test pit at 9ft. backfilled with excavated material ir ifts and compacted with the r bucket.
		-	11				
		-	12				
		-	13				
1 1				1	1		

#### LOG OF TEST PIT TP-101 Sheet of 1 1 PROJECT NAME PROJECT NUMBER DATE Copley Parcel H 151011301 2/25/2020 LOCATION ELEVATION Bartlett St, Northborough, MA Approx. 273 (NAVD88) EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR DEPTH WATER LEVEL - First WATER LEVEL - Completion Borggaard 12 ft N/E N/A LANGAN PERSONNEL EQUIPMENT FOREMAN Hitachi Excavator 450 Olivia Chasse Chris Merrill SAMPLE Depth Symbol ELEV (feet) DESCRIPTION Number REMARKS Type Scale 0 <u>¹/y</sub>, <u>1/y</u>, +273.0 • <u>1/y</u>, <u>1</u></u> Vertical side walls maintained during Dark brown f-m SAND, some fine gravel, some roots, trace silt excavation (dry) [TOPSOIL] Minimal bucket resistance No groundwater encountered 1 +271. 2 Report: Log - LANGANTP Orangish brown f-m SAND, some silt, some roots, some fine gravel Roots encountered from about 0ft-2.5ft (dry) 3 GRAB ல் 10:46:02 AM Dark brown to black f-c SAND, some fine gravel 4 (dry) +268.7 3/10/2020 5 <u>G</u>D FRPRISE 6 Gray fine SAND, some silt, trace fine gravel (moist) [TILL] Z 1301 7 5101 8 ENID/ 9 DISCIPLI 10 DATA/ 11 NLANGAN.COM/DATA/BOS/DATA3/151011301/PRO Redox striations at about 11.5ft 12 +261.0 Bottom of test pit at 12ft. Test pit backfilled with excavated material in Bottom of Test Pit at 12ft 1-2 foot lifts and compacted with the excavator bucket. 13 14 LANGAN

### LOG OF TEST PIT TP-102

LOCATION Bartlett EXCAVATION C Borggaa EQUIPMENT	St, Northborough, MA         CONTRACTOR         ard         Excavator 450         DESCRIPTION         0         Dark brown f-m SAND, some roots, trace silt, trace fine grav (dry) [TOPSOIL]	el		11.4 f	ť	1301     2/25/2020       Approx. 271 (NAVD88)       WATER LEVEL - First N/E     WATER LEVEL - Completin N/A       Merrill     LANGAN PERSONNEL Olivia Chasse       REMARKS       Vertical side walls maintained during excavation Minimal bucket resistance No groundwater encountered
EXCAVATION C Borggaa EQUIPMENT Hitachi Symbol ELEV (feet)	CONTRACTOR       Image: Contractor and image: Co	EOREMAN	2 - 0	C	hris N IPLE	WATER LEVEL - First N/E LANGAN PERSONNEL Olivia Chasse REMARKS Vertical side walls maintained during excavation Minimal bucket resistance
Borggaa           EQUIPMENT           Hitachi           Symbol           ELEV (feet)           Marcola	ard       I         Excavator 450       I         DESCRIPTION       I         0       Dark brown f-m SAND, some roots, trace silt, trace fine grave (dry) [TOPSOIL]         6       Orangish brown f-m SAND, some silt, some fine gravel, som roots (dry)         6       Grayish brown to black f-c SAND, some fine gravel	EOREMAN	2 - 0	C	hris N IPLE	N/E     N/A       Merrill     LANGAN PERSONNEL       Olivia Chasse       REMARKS       Vertical side walls maintained during excavation       Minimal bucket resistance
Hitachi Symbol ELEV (feet) (1/2) (2/2) (2/2) (1/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (1/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (1/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (1/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (1/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (1/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2) (2/2)	Excavator 450         DESCRIPTION         0       Dark brown f-m SAND, some roots, trace silt, trace fine grav (dry) [TOPSOIL]         6       Orangish brown f-m SAND, some silt, some fine gravel, som roots (dry)         6       Grayish brown to black f-c SAND, some fine gravel	el	Depth Scale - 0	SAN	IPLE	Vertical side walls maintained during excavation Minimal bucket resistance
Symbol ELEV (feet)	DESCRIPTION Dark brown f-m SAND, some roots, trace silt, trace fine grav (dry) [TOPSOIL] Orangish brown f-m SAND, some silt, some fine gravel, som roots (dry) Grayish brown to black f-c SAND, some fine gravel	el	Scale - 0 1	SAN	IPLE	<b>REMARKS</b> Vertical side walls maintained during excavation Minimal bucket resistance
1/2 - <u>24 1/2</u> - <u>1</u> - <u>1 / 12 - 13 / 16</u> - <u>1 / 2 - 13 / 16</u> - 17 - <u>17 - 18 / 17</u>	Orangish brown f-m SAND, some roots, trace silt, trace fine grav Orangish brown f-m SAND, some silt, some fine gravel, som roots (dry) Grayish brown to black f-c SAND, some fine gravel		1			excavation Minimal bucket resistance
	roots (dry) Grayish brown to black f-c SAND, some fine gravel	e		-		
			4			Roots encountered from about 0ft-3ft
+266.8	Grayish brown f-m SAND, some silt, some f-m gravel (moist) [TILL]		5			
+259.6	6 Bottom of Test Pit at 11.4ft		10			Bottom of test pit at 11.4ft. Test pit backfilled with excavated material in 1-2 foot lifts and compacted with the excavator bucket.
	IGAN		13	-		

### LOG OF TEST PIT TP-103

0	NAME		PROJECT	NUMBER		-101	1001	DATE	0/05/0000
LOCATION	1	larcel H	ELEVATIO	ON	1	5101	1301		2/25/2020
Bar	tlett S	st, Northborough, MA NTRACTOR					<u> </u>	Approx.	259 (NAVD88)
Bor	ggaar	rd	DEPTH		9 ft	t	WATER LE	EVEL - First N/E ⊻ LANGAN PĒRS	WATER LEVEL - Complet
EQUIPMEN	NT	xcavator 450	FOREMA	١			/lerrill	LANGAN PERS	ONNEL Olivia Chasse
					SAM				Olivia Chasse
Symbol	ELEV (feet)	DESCRIPTION		Depth Scale	Number	Type		REM	ARKS
<u>1.1. 1.1. 1.1.</u>	+259.0 +258.0	Dark brown f-m SAND, some roots, trace fine gravel, trace (dry) [TOPSOIL]	-	- 0			excavati Minimal		
	+257.0 ·	Orangish brown f-m SAND, some f-m gravel, some silt, trac roots (dry)		- - 2 -					
		Gray fine SAND, some silt, trace fine gravel (moist) [TILL]		3					
				5			Roots er	ncountered fro	m about Oft-5ft
	+250.0 ·								
		Bottom of Test Pit at 9ft		- - - - - - - - - - -			Test pit I 1-2 foot	of test pit at 9ft backfilled with lifts and compa or bucket.	excavated material i
				- 11 - -					
			-  -  -  -	12 - - - -					
				13 - - -					
		GAN		- 14					

		OF TEST P			04		DATE	Sheet 1 of
ROJECT NAM Copley	^{ме} y Parcel H				<u>510</u> 1	1301		2/25/2020
OCATION Bartlet	tt St, Northborough, MA	ELEVA	TION				Approx	254 (NAVD88)
XCAVATION (	CONTRACTOR	DEPTH		0.5.5	+	WATER L	EVEL - First	WATER LEVEL - Comp
Borgga		FOREM	IAN	9.5 f		 • ···		N/A
Hitachi	ii Excavator 450			SAM		<i>l</i> errill		Olivia Chasse
ymbol ^{ELE}	DESCRIPTION		Depth Scale	Number	Type		REM	ARKS
$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}$	<ul> <li>Dark brown f-m SAND, some organics, trace gravel</li> <li>(dry) [TOPSOIL]</li> </ul>	silt, trace fine	 	-		excava Minima	l bucket resista	ince
+253		trace roots					undwater encou	untered om about Oft-2ft
+244			- 9 -    	- - - -		Bottom Test pit		5ft. excavated material
	Bottom of Test Pit at 9.5ft						t lifts and comp tor bucket.	acted with the
	NGAN		  - 14					

LOG OF TES	T PIT TP-105		S	heet
	PROJECT NUMBER		DATE	
	151011	301		
	ELEVATION			
ough, MA			Approx.	259
	DEDTU		CI Ciret	

PROJECT NAME

Copley Parcel H

1 of 1

2/25/2020

Bartle	ett St	t, Northborough, MA	ELEVAT	ION				Appro	x. 259 (NAVD88)
XCAVATION Borgg			DEPTH		9 f	Ŧ	WATER L	WATER LEVEL - Comp	
QUIPMENT		kcavator 450	FOREM	AN			Merrill	N/E ∑ LANGAN PE	RSONNEL Olivia Chasse
					SAM				Olivia Chasse
/mbol ^{ELE} (fee	.EV eet)	DESCRIPTION		Depth Scale	Number	Type		RE	MARKS
<u>i</u> <u>i</u> <u>i</u> <u>i</u> <u>i</u> <u>i</u> <u>i</u> <u>i</u> <u>i</u> <u>i</u>	59.0	Dark brown f-m SAND, some organics, some fine gravel, tra silt (dry) [TOPSOIL]	ace	— 0 — 	-		excavat Modera		
		Orangish brown f-m SAND, some silt, some fine gravel, trac roots (dry)	;e	2			Roots e	ncountered 1	rom about 0ft-2ft
+25	56.0 -	Gray fine SAND, some silt, trace f-c gravel, trace boulders (moist) [TILL]		3 -					
				5					
				7					
+25	50.0 -			9 -					bottom of test pit. He
		Bottom of Test Pit at 9ft		10			Bottom Test pit 1-2 foot		9ft. th excavated materia npacted with the
				11	-				
				12 -					
			-	— 14 —					

### LOG OF TEST PIT TP-106

PROJECT NAME	PROJEC	T NUMBER		5101	1201	DATE	2/25/2020
Copley Parcel H LOCATION	ELEVAT	ION	I	5101	1301	-	2/25/2020
Bartlett St, Northborough, MA EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR	DEPTH				WATER LE	Approx.	285 (NAVD88) WATER LEVEL - Completion
Borggaard			9 f	ť		N/E ∑	N/A 🗸
EQUIPMENT Hitachi Excavator 450	FOREM	AN	С	hris N	/lerrill	LANGAN PERS	Olivia Chasse
		Danth	SAN	IPLE			
Symbol ELEV DESCRIPTION		Depth Scale	Number	Type		REM	ARKS
<u>***</u> +285.0		— 0 —	Ž	-			
Dark brown f-m SAND, some organics, trace silt					excavatio		ntained during
(dry) [TOPSOIL]	E					oucket resista	nce
+284.0		- 1 -	-		No groun	dwater encou	ntered
Orangish brown f-m SAND, some silt, some fine gravel	-						
(dry)		· -					
		2 -					
	-						
+282.0		3 -					
	F						
Gray fine SAND, some silt, trace fine gravel	-	- 4 -					
(moist) [TILL]	-						
	F	- 5 -	-				
	-				Roots en	countered from	m about 0ft-5ft
	_	- 6 -					
	-						
		- 7 -					
		-					
	F		-				
	-	8 -					
	-						
+276.0		9 -			Bottom	f test pit at 9ft	
	F		]				excavated material in
Bottom of Test Pit at 9ft			1		1-2 foot li	fts and compa	acted with the
	F	10 -			excavato	r bucket.	
	F		-				
	F		]				
	þ	- 11 -	1				
	L		1				
	F	- 12 -	-				
	-	- 12 -	1				
	F		1				
	L	- 13 -	1				
	F		-				
	F		1				
	_	- 14					
LANGAN							

ROJECT NAME	LOG OF TES				01		Sheet 1 of 7
Copley I	Parcel H				5101	1301	2/25/2020
OCATION Bartlett	St, Northborough, MA	ELEVAT	ION				Approx. 285 (NAVD88)
XCAVATION CO	ONTRACTOR	DEPTH		~		WATER I	LEVEL - First WATER LEVEL - Comp
Borggaa QUIPMENT		FOREM	AN	91			N/E LANGAN PERSONNEL
Hitachi I	Excavator 450					/lerrill	Olivia Chasse
ymbol ELEV (feet)	DESCRIPTION		Depth Scale	Number S	Type		REMARKS
+285.0 (C · 1 6 +284.0 +279.5	Dark brown f-m SAND, some organics, trace silt, trace fine gravel (dry) [TOPSOIL] Orangish brown f-m SAND, some silt, trace fine gravel, trace roots (dry) Brown to blackish brown f-c SAND, some fine gravel (moist)	e - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -				excava Minima No gro	I side walls maintained during tition al bucket resistance undwater encountered encountered from about 0ft-2ft
+276.0	Bottom of Test Pit at 9ft					Test pit 1-2 foo	of test pit at 9ft. t backfilled with excavated material t lifts and compacted with the tor bucket.

## APPENDIX C LANGAN TEST PIT PHOTOGRAPHS



Photo 1: TP-001



Photo 2: TP-001



Photo 3: TP-001



Photo 4: TP-001



Photo 5: TP-002



Photo 6: TP-002



Photo 7: TP-002



Photo 8: TP-003



Photo 9: TP-003



Photo 10: TP-003



Photo 11: TP-101



Photo 12: TP-101



Photo 13: TP-101



Photo 14: TP-101



Photo 15: TP-102



Photo 16: TP-102



Photo 17: TP-102



Photo 18: TP-104



Photo 19: TP-104



Photo 20: TP-105



Photo 21: TP-105



Photo 22: TP-106



Photo 23: TP-106



Photo 24: TP-106



Photo 25: TP-106



Photo 26: TP-107



Photo 27: TP-107



Photo 28: TP-107
Wangan.com\data\BOS\data3\151011301\Project Data_Discipline\Geotechnical\Reports\Appendices\Backup\Appendix C - Test Pit Photos.docx



## APPENDIX D WELL CONSTRUCTION LOGS

		D. LB-05 (OW)	MMAR	Y		
PROJECT	Copley Parcel H	PROJECT NO.	151011	301		
LOCATION	Northborough, MA	ELEVATION AND DA	TUM	Approx.	284	NAVD88
DRILLING AGENCY	Northern Drill Services	DATE STARTED 2/28/	/2020		<b>DATE FINISHED</b> 2/28/2020	
DRILLING EQUIPMENT	Mobile Drill B-48 Truck Rig	DRILLER	Tim Tuc	ker		
SIZE AND TYPE OF BIT	4-1/4" ID Hollow Stem Auger	INSPECTOR	Olivia C	hasse		

### METHOD OF INSTALLATION

Boring LB-05(OW) was advanced to about 25ft with 4-1/4" HSA. The screen and riser for well was placed into the borehole. #1 sand was poured around the pipe to 2ft. above the screen as the augers were removed. A 2 foot seal of 3/8" Bentonite Chips was placed. The rest of the augers were removed and the remaining of the borehole was backfilled with auger cuttings. A stand pipe was installed over the well.

#### METHOD OF WELL DEVELOPMENT

N/A

TYPE OF CASING	PVC	DIAMETER	2in.	TYPE OF BACKFILL MATERIAL	Auger cuttings		
TYPE OF SCREEN	PVC	DIAMETER	2in.	TYPE OF SEAL MATERIAL	3/8" Bentonite Chips		
BOREHOLE DIAMETER	4-1/4"			TYPE OF FILTER MATERIAL #1 sand			
TOP OF CASING	<b>ELEVATION</b> 285.83		<b>DEPTH (ft)</b> -1.83	WELL DETAILS	SUMMARY SOIL CLASSIFICATION	DEPTH (FT)	
TOP OF BACKFILL	ELEVATION		DEPTH (ft)	Cover	Top of Casing	-1.8	
el.	284		0		Ground Surface	0.0	
TOP OF SEAL	ELEVATION		DEPTH (ft)	2" PVC	Fill	1.0	
el.	273		11	Riser Backfill			
TOP OF FILTER	ELEVATION		DEPTH (ft)				
el.	271		13				
TOP OF SCREEN	ELEVATION		DEPTH (ft)				
el.	269		15		Fill	11.0	
BOTTOM OF BORING	ELEVATION		DEPTH (ft)	Seal			
el.	259		25		Fill	13.0	
SCREEN LENGTH	10ft.						
SLOT SIZE	.1in.			PVC			
GROUNI	OWATER EL	EVATIONS		Screen			
DATE	ELEVATION	DEPTH TO WATER (ft)		Sand			
2/28/2020	271.40	12.60		Pack			
DATE	ELEVATION	DEPTH TO WATER (ft)			Till	25.0	
DATE	ELEVATION	DEPTH TO WATER (ft)				23.0	
DATE	ELEVATION	DEPTH TO WATER (ft)					
DATE	ELEVATION	DEPTH TO WATER (ft)					
DATE	ELEVATION	DEPTH TO WATER (ft)					

		D. LB-08 (OW)	MMAR	Y		
PROJECT	Copley Parcel H	PROJECT NO.	151011	301		
LOCATION	Northborough, MA	ELEVATION AND DA	TUM	Approx.	270	NAVD88
DRILLING AGENCY	Northern Drill Services	DATE STARTED 2/28/	/2020		<b>DATE FINISHED</b> 2/28/2020	
DRILLING EQUIPMENT	Mobile Drill B-48 Truck Rig	DRILLER	Tim Tuc	ker		
SIZE AND TYPE OF BIT	4-1/4" ID Hollow Stem Auger	INSPECTOR	Olivia C	hasse		

### METHOD OF INSTALLATION

Boring LB-08(OW) was advanced to about 25ft with 4-1/4" HSA. The screen and riser for well was placed into the borehole. #1 sand was poured around the pipe to 2ft. above the screen as the augers were removed. A 2 foot seal of 3/8" Bentonite Chips was placed. The rest of the augers were removed and the remaining of the borehole was backfilled with auger cuttings. A stand pipe was installed over the well.

#### METHOD OF WELL DEVELOPMENT

N/A

TYPE OF CASING	PVC	DIAMETER	2in.	TYPE OF BACKFILL MATERIAL	Auger cuttings		
TYPE OF SCREEN	PVC	DIAMETER	2in.	TYPE OF SEAL MATERIAL	3/8" Bentonite Chips		
BOREHOLE DIAMETER	4-1/4"			TYPE OF FILTER MATERIAL	#1 sand		
TOP OF CASING el.	<b>ELEVATION</b> 272.33		<b>DEPTH (ft)</b> -2.33	WELL DETAILS	SUMMARY SOIL CLASSIFICATION	DEPTH (FT)	
TOP OF BACKFILL	ELEVATION		DEPTH (ft)	Cover-	Top of Casing	-2.3	
el.	270		0		Ground Surface	0.0	
TOP OF SEAL	ELEVATION		DEPTH (ft)	2" PVC	Topsoil	1.0	
el.	259		11	Riser Backfill	Sand	2.0	
TOP OF FILTER	ELEVATION		DEPTH (ft)				
el.	257		13				
TOP OF SCREEN	ELEVATION		DEPTH (ft)				
el.	255		15		Till	11.0	
BOTTOM OF BORING	ELEVATION		DEPTH (ft)	Seal			
el.	245		25		Till	13.0	
SCREEN LENGTH	10ft.						
SLOT SIZE	.1in.			PVC			
GROUNI	DWATER EL	EVATIONS		Screen			
		DEPTH TO WATER (ft)		Sand			
2/28/2020	253.30	16.70		Pack			
DATE	ELEVATION	DEPTH TO WATER (ft)			Till	25.0	
DATE	ELEVATION	DEPTH TO WATER (ft)			111	23.0	
DATE	ELEVATION	DEPTH TO WATER (ft)					
DATE	ELEVATION	DEPTH TO WATER (ft)					
DATE	ELEVATION	DEPTH TO WATER (ft)					

# APPENDIX E LABORATORY TESTING RESULTS

LANGAN



Client: Langan Engineering Project: Copley Parcel H Northborough, MA Location: Project No: GTX-311452 Boring ID: ---Sample Type: ---Tested By: ckg 03/21/20 Checked By: jsc Sample ID: ---Test Date: Depth : Test Id: ---550277

## Moisture Content of Soil and Rock - ASTM D2216

Boring ID	Sample ID	Depth	Description	Moisture Content,%
LB-02	S- 4	6-8 ft	Moist, light yellowish brown silt with sand	15.4
LB-05	S- 3	4-6 ft	Moist, dark yellowish brown silty sand	20.3
LB-07	S- 2	2-4 ft	Moist, dark grayish brown sand with gravel	3.6
LB-08	S- 3	4-6 ft	Moist, olive brown silt	25.1

Notes: Temperature of Drying : 110° Celsius



Client:	Langan Engineering				
Project:	Copley Parcel H				
Location:	Northborough, MA			Project No:	GTX-311452
Boring ID:		Sample Type:		Tested By:	ckg
Sample ID:		Test Date:	03/24/20	Checked By:	jsc
Depth :		Test Id:	550278		


## Amount of Material Passing #200 Sieve - ASTM D1140

Boring ID	Sample ID	Depth	Visual Description	Fines, %
LB-03	S-6	10-12 ft	Moist, olive brown silt	80.7
LB-08	S-3	4-6 ft	Moist, olive brown silt	88.9

Notes: Tests performed using Method B - washing using a wetting agent Dry mass of test specimen was determined directly



	Client:	Langan En	gineering					
	Project:	Copley Par	rcel H					
	Location:	Northboro	ugh, MA			Project No:	GTX-311452	2
J	Boring ID:	LB-02		Sample Type	: jar	Tested By:	ckg	
	Sample ID:	S-4		Test Date:	03/24/20	Checked By:	jsc	
	Depth :	6-8 ft		Test Id:	550271			
	Test Comm	ent:						
	Visual Desc	cription:	Moist, light y	ellowish brown	silt with sar	nd		
	Sample Co	mment:						



Sieve Name	Sieve Size, mm	Percent Finer	Spec. Percent	Complies
#4	4.75	100		
#10	2.00	100		
#20	0.85	100		
#40	0.42	100		
#60	0.25	100		
#100	0.15	99		
#200	0.075	79		

	Coe	efficients	
D ₈₅ = 0.09	25 mm	$D_{30} = N/A$	
D ₆₀ = N/A		$D_{15} = N/A$	
D ₅₀ = N/A		$D_{10} = N/A$	
C _u =N/A		$C_c = N/A$	

		<u>Classification</u>
<u>ASTM</u>	N/A	


AASHTO Silty Soils (A-4 (0))

### Sample/Test Description Sand/Gravel Particle Shape : ---

Sand/Gravel Hardness : ---



	Client:	Langan En	ngineering				
	Project:	Copley Par	rcel H				
	Location:	Northboro	ugh, MA			Project No:	GTX-311452
)	Boring ID:	LB-05		Sample Type:	jar	Tested By:	ckg
	Sample ID:	S-3		Test Date:	03/24/20	Checked By:	jsc
	Depth :	4-6 ft		Test Id:	550272		
	Test Comm	ent:					
	Visual Desc	ription:	Moist, dark y	ellowish brown	silty sand		
	Sample Cor	mment:					
	-						




AASHTO Silty Soils (A-4 (0))

Sand/Gravel Hardness : ---

Sample/Test Description
Sand/Gravel Particle Shape : ---



	Client:	Langan En	gineering				
	Project:	Copley Par	cel H				
Ň	Location:	Northborou	ugh, MA			Project No:	GTX-311452
9	Boring ID:	LB-07		Sample Type:	jar	Tested By:	ckg
	Sample ID:	S-2		Test Date:	03/24/20	Checked By:	jsc
	Depth :	2-4 ft		Test Id:	550270		
	Test Comm	ent:					
	Visual Desc	ription:	Moist, dark gr	ayish brown sa	nd with gra	vel	
	Sample Cor	mment:					
Particle Size Analysis - ASTM D422							



Sieve Name	Sieve Size, mm	Percent Finer	Spec. Percent	Complies
0.75 in	19.00	100		
0.5 in	12.50	94		
0.375 in	9.50	92		
#4	4.75	83		
#10	2.00	68		
#20	0.85	55		
#40	0.42	25		
#60	0.25	11		
#100	0.15	6		
#200	0.075	3.8		


	0.0	
<u>(</u>	Coefficients	
D ₈₅ =5.4156 mm	D ₃₀ =0.4767 mm	
D ₆₀ =1.1674 mm	D ₁₅ =0.2924 mm	
D ₅₀ =0.7546 mm	D ₁₀ =0.2284 mm	
C _u =5.111	C _c =0.852	

<u>ASTM</u>	<u>Classification</u> Poorly graded SAND with Gravel (SP)
<u>AASHTO</u>	Stone Fragments, Gravel and Sand (A-1-b (1))
	Sample/Test Description

Sand/Gravel Particle Shape : ANGULAR Sand/Gravel Hardness : HARD



Client:	Langan Engineering						
Project:	Copley Parcel H						
Location:	Northboro	ugh, MA			Project No:	GTX-311452	
Boring ID:	LB-08		Sample Type:	jar	Tested By:	ckg	
Sample ID:	S-3		Test Date:	03/24/20	Checked By:	jsc	
Depth :	4-6 ft		Test Id:	550273			
Test Comm	ent:						
Visual Desc	cription:	Moist, olive br	rown silt				
Sample Co	mment:						




Sample/Test Description
Sand/Gravel Particle Shape : ---

Sand/Gravel Hardness : ---

## APPENDIX F PAVEMENT DESIGN

LANGAN



20200324 Pavement Design Spreadsheet.xlsx

#### Calculate Equivalent 18-kip Single Axle Loading (ESALs) Equivalent Single Axle Loads per Vehicle Load Equivalency • Typical Car: Calculated ESALs Factors: Front Single Axle: 2 kips (1 axle)(0.0004)+(1 axle)(0.0004) =0.0008 /car LEF = 0.0004 (S) (S) Rear Single Axle: 2 kips LEF = 0.0004 • Typical Light Duty Truck (H20): Calculated ESALs Front Single Axle: 8 kips (1 axle)(0.051)+(1 axle)(0.051) =0.94 /truck (S) LEF = 0.051 Truck Rear Axle: 32 kips (T) LEF = 0.889 • Typical Truck and Trailer (HS20): Calculated ESALs Front Single Axle: 8 kips LEF = 0.051 (Front axle)(0.051)+(Rear axle)(0.889) (S) +(Trailer Tandem)(0.889))&) =Truck Rear Axle: 32 kips LEF = 1.829 /truck (T) 0.889 (T) Trailer Axle: 32 kips LEF = 0.889 (S) = single axle, (T) = Tandem, (3) = Triple Axles

Traffic Loading

Standard Pavement Section

Design Life:

Vehicle Types	Current Traffic	Growth Factors	Design Traffic	ESAL Factor	Design ESAL
		2%			
Passenger Cars	200	24.30	1,773,708	0.0008	1,419
		2%			
Light Trucks	10	24.30	88,685	0.94	83,364

years (From Sheet P.01)

20


### Standard Design ESAL: 84,783

### Heavy Duty Pavement Section

Vehicle Types	Current Traffic	Growth Factors	Design Traffic	ESAL Factor	Design ESAL
		2%			
Passenger Cars	200	24.30	1,773,708	0.0008	1,419
		2%			
Light Trucks	10	24.30	88,685	0.94	83,364
		4%			
Heavy Trucks	284	29.78	3,086,796	1.829	5,645,749

Heavy Duty Design ESAL: 5,730,532

	Project	Drawing Title	Project No.	Drawing No.
LANGAN			151011301	
555 Long Wharf Drive, New Haven, CT 06511			Date	
T: 203.562.5771 F: 203.789.6142 www.langan.com NEW JERSEY NEW YORK CONNECTICUT PENNSYLVANIA OHIO	Copley Parcel H		3/19/2020	P.02
WASHINGTON, DC FLORIDA TEXAS NORTH DAKOTA CALIFORNIA ABU DHABI ATHENS DOHA DUBAI ISTANBUL PANAMA		ESAL Calculation	Scale	
Longar Engineering, Destantmental, Surveying and Landacape Architecture, D.P.C. S.A. Langar Engineering, Destantmental, Surveying and Landacape Architecture, D.P.C. Langar Engineering and Environmental Sensors, Inc.			Not to Scale	
Langar Charles Langar Charles LLC Langar Charles LLC Colderbrief LLC			Drawn By	Sheet 2 of 4
	Northborough Massachusetts		TDS	Sheet 2 01 4



#### **Flexible Pavement Section Calculation:**

Standard Section:					SN = D1(a	1)+D2(a2)+D3(a3)
		Thickness	Lay	yer		_
Material	Spec	(inch)	Strei	ngth	SN	
Bituminuous Concrete Surface Course	Class 2	D1 1.5	a1 (	0.44	0.66	
Bituminuous Concrete Binder Course	Class 1	D2 1.5	a2 (	0.44	0.66	
Dense Graded Aggregate	Subbase	D3 10.0	a3 (	0.11	1.10	
	Calculated S	Structural Nur	nber for S	Section:	2.42	_
	Check C	alculated SN	is > Des	ign SN:	OK	
	Design Ligh	t Duty Structu	ural Num	ber SN:	1.8	(from P.03)

Heavy Duty Section:

		Thickness	Layer	
Material	Spec	(inch)	Strength	SN
Bituminuous Concrete Surface Course	Class 2	D1 2.0	a1 0.44	0.88
Bituminuous Concrete Binder Course	Class 1	D2 2.5	a2 0.44	1.10
Dense Graded Aggregate	Subbase	D3 16.0	a3 0.11	1.76
Calculated Structural Number for Section:				3.74

Check Calculated SN is > Design SN:

Design Heavy Duty Structural Number SN:

(from P.03)

OK

3.5

Structural Number:

*Minimum Recommended Standard Section in Practice = 1.5", 1.5", 6" *Minimum Recommended Heavy Section in Practice = 1.5", 2.5", 8"

	Project	Drawing Title	Project No.	Drawing No.
LANGAN			151011301	
555 Long Wharf Drive, New Haven, CT 06511			Date	
T: 203.562.5771 F: 203.789.6142 www.langan.com	Copley Parcel H	Flexible Pavement Section	3/19/2020	P.04
NEW JERSEY NEW YORK CONNECTICUT PENNSYLVANIA OHIO WASHINGTON, DC FLORIDA TEXAS NORTH DAKOTA CALIFORNIA ABU DHABI ATHENS DOHA DUBAI ISTANBUL PANAMA		Calculation	Scale	
Longer Engineering, Environmental, Serveying and Landscope Architecture, D.P.C. S.A. Langer Engineering, Environmental, Serveying and Landscope Architecture, D.P.C. Langer Engineering and Environmental Servers, Inc.		Calculation	As Shown	
Langar CC, Inc. Langar CC, Inc. Langar Intermitional LC Coldectively known im Longon			Drawn By	Sheet 4 of 4
	Northborough Massachusetts		TDS	011001 4 01 4